

# dentsu

# TRACKING

## **Dentsu Aegis Network** LIST OF SPECIFICATIONS v1.4

---

This document details the list of specifications of the EU Secondary Repository and Router.

### Summary of changes

Date	Ver	Done by	Comment
17.01.2019	0.1	Dentsu Aegis Network	Internal Draft
05.02.2019	0.2	Dentsu Aegis Network	First Draft shared with stakeholders
21.02.2019	1.0	Dentsu Aegis Network	First release
08.03.2019	1.1	Dentsu Aegis Network	Minor changes
28.03.2019	1.2	Dentsu Aegis Network	Minor changes
16.09.2019	1.3	Dentsu Aegis Network	Addition of the GS1 EPCIS, EDI interface. Improvement of the Validation and address field split.
16.10.2019	1.4	Dentsu Aegis Network	Additional sequence validation
17.10.2019	1.4.1	Dentsu Aegis Network	Update of the Message Time Long. Update the definition of the Arrival validation in order to remove the FID control. Update the VAL_EVT_24H definition to a warning.
20.12.2019	1.4.2	Dentsu Aegis Network	Update Routing from distributors and wholesalers. Remove the Recall for IRU and IRA message as described in the implementing regulation. Update sequence validation.

### Distribution

Date	Version	Submitted to
05.02.2019	0.2	Mail to stakeholder
21.02.2019	1.0	Published
08.03.2019	1.1	Published
28.03.2019	1.2	Published
16.09.2019	1.3	Published
16.10.2019	1.4	Published
17.10.2019	1.4.1	Published
20.12.2019	1.4.1	Published

**Confidentiality Statement**

The information contained in these documents is confidential, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>10</b>
1.1	TRACEABILITY SYSTEM OVERVIEW.....	10
1.2	SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES .....	10
1.3	CONVENTIONS .....	11
1.3.1	<i>Message naming convention .....</i>	<i>11</i>
1.3.2	<i>Message and codes sample.....</i>	<i>11</i>
<b>2</b>	<b>DEFINITIONS .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>REPOSITORIES SYSTEM OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	KEY DESIGN PRINCIPLES .....	14
3.2	ROLES OF THE REPOSITORIES SYSTEM COMPONENTS .....	14
3.2.1	<i>The Primary repositories .....</i>	<i>15</i>
3.2.2	<i>The Router .....</i>	<i>15</i>
3.2.3	<i>The Secondary repository.....</i>	<i>15</i>
3.3	OVERVIEW OF DATA DICTIONARY PROCESSES .....	16
3.3.1	<i>Identifier codes for Economic operators, Facilities and Machines.....</i>	<i>16</i>
3.3.2	<i>Unique identifiers (UIs) for Unit packets and Aggregated packaging of tobacco products .....</i>	<i>18</i>
3.3.3	<i>Report on Product movements .....</i>	<i>19</i>
3.3.4	<i>Report on Transactional events .....</i>	<i>20</i>
3.3.5	<i>Recalls.....</i>	<i>20</i>
3.4	SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE.....	22
3.5	OPTIONAL II2MN II2DW INTERFACES .....	23
<b>4</b>	<b>PROCESSES DESCRIPTION.....</b>	<b>25</b>
4.1	ISSUING IDENTIFIER CODES .....	25
4.1.1	<i>Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code .....</i>	<i>25</i>
4.1.2	<i>Correction of information concerning an Economic operator.....</i>	<i>27</i>
4.1.3	<i>De-registration of Economic operator Identifier code .....</i>	<i>29</i>
4.1.4	<i>Issuing of a Facility Identifier code.....</i>	<i>31</i>
4.1.5	<i>Correction of information concerning a Facility Identifier code.....</i>	<i>33</i>
4.1.6	<i>De-registration of a Facility Identifier code .....</i>	<i>36</i>
4.1.7	<i>Issuing of a Machine Identifier code .....</i>	<i>38</i>
4.1.8	<i>Correction of information concerning a Machine Identifier code .....</i>	<i>40</i>
4.1.9	<i>De-registration of a Machine Identifier code.....</i>	<i>41</i>
4.2	ISSUING UNIQUE IDENTIFIERS (UIs) .....	42
4.2.1	<i>Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) .....</i>	<i>42</i>
4.2.2	<i>Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging by ID issuers.....</i>	<i>45</i>
4.2.3	<i>Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) .....</i>	<i>51</i>
4.2.4	<i>Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs).....</i>	<i>55</i>
4.2.5	<i>Automatic deactivation of Unique Identifiers .....</i>	<i>59</i>
4.3	REPORTING OPERATIONAL EVENTS (PRODUCT MOVEMENT INFORMATION) .....	59
4.3.1	<i>Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets .....</i>	<i>59</i>
4.3.2	<i>Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging .....</i>	<i>61</i>
4.3.3	<i>Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility .....</i>	<i>65</i>
4.3.4	<i>Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility.....</i>	<i>70</i>
4.3.5	<i>Trans-loading .....</i>	<i>74</i>
4.3.6	<i>Disaggregation of aggregated level Unique identifier (UI).....</i>	<i>77</i>
4.3.7	<i>Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets .....</i>	<i>82</i>
4.4	REPORTING TRANSACTIONAL EVENTS (TRADE INFORMATION) .....	86
4.4.1	<i>Issuing of the invoice .....</i>	<i>86</i>

4.4.2	<i>Issuing of the order number .....</i>	91
4.4.3	<i>Receipt of the payment.....</i>	96
4.5	RECALLS OF REQUESTS, OPERATIONAL AND TRANSACTIONAL MESSAGES .....	101
4.5.1	<i>Recalls of operational and transactional messages .....</i>	101
<b>5</b>	<b>INTERFACES .....</b>	<b>106</b>
5.1	OVERVIEW .....	106
5.2	SECONDARY REPOSITORY AND ROUTER INTERFACE.....	106
5.2.1	<i>Secondary repository and Router application programmable interface ..</i>	106
5.2.2	<i>Methods of interaction. ....</i>	107
5.2.3	<i>Encoding .....</i>	107
5.2.4	<i>Secured communication .....</i>	107
5.2.5	<i>Version and backward compatibility .....</i>	108
5.2.6	<i>Message identification and RecallCode .....</i>	108
5.2.7	<i>Message response.....</i>	110
5.2.8	<i>Forward Rejected Messages. ....</i>	111
5.2.9	<i>Message integrity and hash .....</i>	112
5.2.10	<i>Message size.....</i>	113
5.2.11	<i>Number of simultaneous connections.....</i>	113
5.2.12	<i>Message Sequence.....</i>	113
5.2.13	<i>Buffering and Burst transmissions.....</i>	114
5.2.14	<i>Message Retransmission limitation.....</i>	114
5.2.15	<i>Connectivity Test Message.....</i>	114
5.2.16	<i>Duplicate message validation .....</i>	114
5.3	EPCIS AND EDI SUPPORT .....	115
5.3.1	<i>Overview.....</i>	115
5.3.2	<i>PRODUCT MOVEMENT EVENTS .....</i>	115
5.3.3	<i>TRANSACTIONAL EVENTS.....</i>	115
5.3.4	<i>ERROR HANDLING MESSAGE .....</i>	115
5.3.5	<i>EPCIS Recall Management.....</i>	116
5.4	EU WIDE REGISTER.....	117
5.4.1	<i>Overview.....</i>	117
5.4.2	<i>Registry .....</i>	118
5.4.3	<i>Flat Files .....</i>	118
5.4.4	<i>ULO - Full Flat file and Registry upload .....</i>	120
5.4.5	<i>PLO - Incremental Flat file and Registry upload .....</i>	122
5.4.6	<i>Offline Flat Files.....</i>	122
5.5	IDENTIFIER CODE VERIFICATION SERVICE .....	124
5.5.1	<i>Overview.....</i>	124
5.5.2	<i>Interface .....</i>	124
5.5.3	<i>Verification Result.....</i>	124
5.6	UNIQUE ISSUER MESSAGE DELIVERY VERIFICATION SERVICE .....	124
5.6.1	<i>Overview.....</i>	124
5.6.2	<i>Interface .....</i>	124
5.6.3	<i>Verification Result.....</i>	125
5.7	COMPETENT AUTHORITIES API.....	125
5.7.1	<i>Overview.....</i>	125
5.7.2	<i>Interface .....</i>	125
5.7.3	<i>Offline Flat File download API.....</i>	125
5.7.4	<i>Query API.....</i>	125
5.8	PRIMARY REPOSITORY ENDPOINT .....	125
5.8.1	<i>Overview.....</i>	125
5.8.2	<i>Methods of interaction. ....</i>	125
5.8.3	<i>Message format.....</i>	125
5.8.4	<i>Message response.....</i>	125
5.8.5	<i>Endpoint .....</i>	126

5.8.6	<i>Secured communication</i> .....	126
5.8.7	<i>RecallCode management</i> .....	126
5.8.8	<i>Message integrity and hash</i> .....	126
5.9	II2MN II2DW INTERFACES.....	126
5.9.1	<i>Overview</i> .....	126
5.9.2	<i>Interface</i> .....	126
5.9.3	<i>Synchronous and asynchronous support</i> .....	126
5.9.4	<i>Extensibility</i> .....	126
<b>6</b>	<b>UNIQUE IDENTIFIER.....</b>	<b>127</b>
6.1	CLARIFICATION ON STRUCTURE OF UNIT-LEVEL UNIQUE IDENTIFIERS .....	127
6.1.1	<i>Clarification on Structure of unit-level unique identifiers (after encoding into a data carrier)</i> .....	127
6.1.2	<i>Clarification on Structure of aggregated-level unique identifiers (after encoding into a data carrier)</i> .....	129
6.2	DECODING UI .....	131
6.2.1	<i>Algorithm</i> .....	131
6.2.2	<i>Decoding Activities</i> .....	131
<b>7</b>	<b>ROUTER.....</b>	<b>132</b>
7.1	OVERVIEW .....	132
7.2	ROUTING RULES .....	132
7.2.1	<i>Routing of UI</i> .....	132
7.2.2	<i>Routing of Transactional data</i> .....	133
7.3	RECALL MANAGEMENT .....	133
<b>8</b>	<b>MESSAGE VALIDATION .....</b>	<b>134</b>
8.1	OVERVIEW .....	134
8.1.1	<i>Principle: No duplication of validation</i> .....	134
8.1.2	<i>Validation response</i> .....	134
8.1.3	<i>Technical and business validation</i> .....	135
8.1.4	<i>Message transmission overview</i> .....	135
8.2	TYPE OF VALIDATION .....	136
8.2.1	<i>Security validation</i> .....	136
8.2.2	<i>Message Structure validation</i> .....	136
8.2.3	<i>Message Transmission validation</i> .....	137
8.2.4	<i>Unique Identifiers validation</i> .....	137
8.2.5	<i>UI level Message sequence validation</i> .....	139
8.2.6	<i>Message Event Time Validation</i> .....	143
8.2.7	<i>Identification Code validation</i> .....	144
8.2.8	<i>Recall Validation</i> .....	144
8.3	VALIDATION SCOPE .....	146
8.4	VALIDATION RESPONSIBILITY .....	147
8.5	VALIDATION AND ERROR CODE .....	148
8.6	TRANSITION PERIOD VALIDATION .....	150
8.6.1	<i>overview</i> .....	150
8.6.2	<i>Related controls</i> .....	150
8.6.3	<i>Primary and Router processing</i> .....	151
8.6.4	<i>Empty Event</i> .....	151
8.7	SEQUENCE VALIDATION GRACE PERIOD.....	151
8.7.1	<i>Overview</i> .....	151
8.7.2	<i>UI Processing</i> .....	151
8.7.3	<i>End of grace period</i> .....	152
8.7.4	<i>Related controls</i> .....	152
8.7.5	<i>Primary and Router processing</i> .....	152

<b>9</b>	<b>SIGN UP PROCESS.....</b>	<b>153</b>
9.1	OVERVIEW .....	153
9.2	OVERALL FLOW .....	153
9.2.1	<i>ID Issuer and Primary repositories providers</i> .....	153
9.2.2	<i>Technical Solution Provider</i> .....	153
9.2.3	<i>Economic Operator Validation</i> .....	153
<b>10</b>	<b>ENDPOINTS .....</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>LIST OF STANDARDS .....</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>156</b>

Table of figures

Figure 1 Overall system interconnection .....	15
Figure 2 EU Wide Register .....	16
Figure 3 Identifier codes general data flow .....	17
Figure 4 Unique identifier (UI) general data flow .....	18
Figure 5 Product movement data flow .....	19
Figure 6 Transaction general data flow .....	20
Figure 7 Recalls general data flow .....	21
Figure 8 System Interfaces and Connections .....	22
Figure 9 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code	26
Figure 10 Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator .....	28
Figure 11 De-registration of an Economic operator .....	30
Figure 12 Issuing of a Facility Identifier code .....	32
Figure 13 Correction of Information concerning a Facility .....	34
Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility .....	37
Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of a Machine Identifier code .....	39
Figure 16 Data Flow Diagram – Correction of Information concerning a Machine .....	40
Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine .....	42
Figure 18 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets.....	44
Figure 19 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers .....	47
Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers .....	50
Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers .....	52
Figure 22 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers .....	54
Figure 23 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers .....	56
Figure 24 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers .....	58
Figure 25 Data Flow Diagram – Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers.....	60
Figure 26 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers..	62
Figure 27 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging by Distributors and Wholesalers.....	64
Figure 28 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers .....	66
Figure 29 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers .....	69
Figure 30 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers.....	71
Figure 31 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers .....	73
Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading of tobacco products – Report from Manufacturers and Importers .....	75

Figure 33 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading of tobacco products – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers.....76

Figure 34 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers.....79

Figure 35 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers .....81

Figure 36 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers .....83

Figure 37 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers .....85

Figure 38 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers.....88

Figure 39 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers .....90

Figure 40 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers .....93

Figure 41 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers.....95

Figure 42 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers.....98

Figure 43 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesaler ..... 100

Figure 44 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of requests for unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) ..... 105

Figure 45 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of requests for aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers ..... 107

Figure 46 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of requests for aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers..... 110

Figure 47 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers..... 102

Figure 48 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers ..... 104

Figure 49 System interfaces and endpoints ..... 106

Figure 50 EU Wide Register Flow ..... 117

Figure 51 Registry file structure..... 118

Figure 52 Flat File structure ..... 119

Figure 53 Granular Flat File Structure..... 120

Figure 54 Offline Flat File structure ..... 122

Figure 55 Router Data Flow..... 132

Figure 56 Validation Overview ..... 134

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Traceability system overview

On 3<sup>rd</sup> April 2014, the European Parliament and the Council adopted the Tobacco Products Directive 2014/40/EU (TPD). Article 15 of the TPD aims to address illicit trade in tobacco products by introducing a system of traceability in the Union.

Under this Traceability system, all Unit packets of tobacco products produced in, destined for, or placed on the EU market need to display a Unique Identifier (UI). The operational and transactional movements of the tobacco products must be recorded throughout the supply chain, from the Manufacturer to the last level before the first retail outlet. Information recorded is stored by independent data storage providers (Primary and Secondary Repositories), and the data is made available for regulatory purposes to the competent authorities of the Member States and to the Commission.

This Traceability system will contribute to reducing the circulation of tobacco products not compliant with the TPD and other tobacco control legislation. It will also reduce artificially cheap supplies of illegal tobacco products that affect the uptake and general prevalence of smoking. In this regard, it addresses the obligations of the European Union (EU) under the Framework Convention for Tobacco Control (FCTC). In the end, the Traceability system will play an important role in protecting public health, state budgets and Economic operators.

## 1.2 Scope and objectives

The production and publication of a List of specifications and a Common Data Dictionary is required as an obligatory task for the providers of the Secondary repository in Article 28 of the Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/574.

The objective of this document is to communicate to the Traceability system stakeholders the list of specifications required to allow the data exchanges with the Secondary repository. The technical descriptions of data entities

The document is structured as follows:

- **Section 2 – Definitions.** The description of the key concepts as defined in the EU Regulation.
- **Section 3 – Repositories system overview.** A general description of the Repositories system including key design principles, role of the components, overview of the main processes and data flows, and overview of the system architecture.
- **Section 4 – Processes description.** A detailed description of each of the process and its related data flows and messages, including requests of codes, report on operational events, reports on transactional events and recalls.
- **Section 5 – Interfaces.** Description of the Traceability System interfaces between its various components.

- **Section 6 – Unique Identifiers.** Description of the decoding requirements to allow authorized third parties to decode the codes.
- **Section 7 – Router.** Brief summary of the component in charge of routing information from ID Issuers and Supply Chain to related Manufacturer's Primary Systems.
- **Section 8 – Messages validation.** Brief description of the validation mechanisms that the overall system should ensure to meet Regulation purposes.

**Note:** for the detailed and technical description of the data base entities and flows, operational and transactional method, security edge case, router definition, error messages, registration process and overall connection diagram, see the Data Dictionary document.

## 1.3 Conventions

### 1.3.1 Message naming convention

The messages are described by a 3 or 4 alphanumeric characters code. In parenthesis, the message type reference of the Annex II of the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/574 will be indicated.

Example: REO (1.1)

### 1.3.2 Message and codes sample

```
{  
  "Errors": null  
}
```

## 2 Definitions

The following definitions are described in Article 2 of the Tobacco Products Directive 2014/40/EU and in Article 2 and 3 of the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/574. They are classified in alphabetical order.

**Aggregated packaging** is any packaging containing more than one Unit packet of tobacco products.

**Data carrier** is a means of representing data in machine readable form.

**Economic operators** are any natural or legal person who is involved in the trade of tobacco products, including for export, from the manufacturer to the last economic operator before the first retail outlet. This includes, but is not limited to, manufacturers, importers, wholesalers and distributors, as well as transport companies or providers of courier services.

**Facility** is any location, building or vending machine where tobacco products are manufactured, stored, or placed on the market.

**First retail outlet** is the Facility where tobacco products are placed on the market for the first time, including vending machines used for the sale of tobacco products.

**ID Issuer** is an entity appointed by each Member State, responsible for generating and issuing Unique identifier (UI) for Unit packet and Aggregated packaging of tobacco products.

**Importer** of tobacco or related products is the owner of, or a person having the right of disposal over, tobacco or related products that have been brought into the territory of the Union.

**Machine** is the equipment used for the manufacture of tobacco products which is integral to the manufacturing process.

**Manufacturer** is any natural or legal person who manufactures a product or has a product designed or manufactured, and markets that product under their name or trademark.

**Offline flat-files** are the electronic files established and maintained by each ID Issuer that contains data in a plain text format allowing for the extraction of the information encoded in the Unique identifier (UI) (excluding the time stamp) used at the Unit packet and Aggregated packaging levels without accessing the Repositories sprefix ystem.

**Primary repository** is a repository storing traceability data relating exclusively to the products of a given Manufacturer or Importer.

**Registry** means the record established and maintained by each ID Issuer of all the Identifier codes generated for Economic operators, Operators of first retail outlets, Facilities and Machines, along with the corresponding information.

**Repositories system** is the system consisting of the Primary repositories, the Secondary repository and the Router.

**Retail outlet** is any outlet where tobacco products are placed on the market, including by a natural person.

**Router** is a device established within the Secondary repository that transfers data between different components of the Repositories system.

**Secondary repository** is a repository containing a copy of all traceability data stored in the Primary repositories.

**Trans-loading** is any transfer of tobacco products from one vehicle to another during which tobacco products do not enter and exit a Facility.

**Unique identifier (UI)** is the alphanumeric code enabling the identification of a Unit packet or an Aggregated packaging of tobacco product.

**Unit packet** is the smallest individual packaging of a tobacco or related product that is placed in the market.

**Vending van** is a vehicle used for the delivery of tobacco products to multiple retail outlets in quantities that have not been predetermined in advance of the delivery.

## 3 Repositories system overview

### 3.1 Key design principles

The Repositories system is composed of the following sub-systems: Primary repositories, Secondary repository, Router. The sub-systems shall be fully interoperable with one another, irrespective of the service provider.

The European Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/574 provided the following key design principles:

- Whenever data are received by the Primary repositories on the basis of a reporting activity, or for any other permitted reason, it shall be forwarded to the Secondary repository instantaneously.
- Economic operators other than Manufacturers and Importers shall send the information recorded to the Router, which shall transfer it to the Primary repository serving the Manufacturer or Importer whose tobacco products are concerned. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously to the Secondary repository.
- ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all offline flat-files, registries and related explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

### 3.2 Roles of the Repositories system components

The following diagram represents the overall system interconnection.




Figure 1 Overall system interconnection

### 3.2.1 The Primary repositories

The Primary repositories are repositories contracted by each Manufacturer and Importer. The Primary repositories store product movements and transactional data related to the tobacco products Unit packets and Aggregated packaging of its corresponding Manufacturers and Importers. When the reports come from Distributors and Wholesalers, the data is sent via the Router.

### 3.2.2 The Router

The Router dispatches the traceability data from the Wholesalers, Distributors, Transport companies or Providers of courier services to the Primary repository related to the Manufacturer or Importer of the dispatched tobacco products. The Router also transmits the Unique Identifiers generated by the ID Issuers to the relevant Primary repository or to the Secondary Repository if the UIs were requested by an Economic operator other than a Manufacturer or Importer. Finally, the Router transmits the offline flat-files and registries from the ID issuers to the Secondary repository.

### 3.2.3 The Secondary repository

The Secondary repository is the “copy” of the Primary repositories for all traceability data. All data will first be centralized in the Primary repositories and then sent to the Secondary repository, with the exception of:

- the requests for aggregated level UIs by Distributors and Wholesalers;
- the reports on application of aggregated level UIs by Distributors and Wholesalers;

- the transfer by ID issuers of offline flat-files, registries and algorithms to the Secondary repository.

Those are directly transmitted via the Router to the Secondary repository.

The Secondary repository holds the EU Wide register




Figure 2 EU Wide Register

### 3.3 Overview of Data Dictionary processes

The following diagrams describe the different key processes and corresponding data flows that are implemented in the Traceability system. These processes and data flows are described in detail in section 4.

#### 3.3.1 Identifier codes for Economic operators, Facilities and Machines

The following diagram describes the key data flows whereby Economic operators such as Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers and Operators of first retail outlets request to an ID Issuer the generation of Identifier codes, the correction of information or a de-registration. Those Identifier codes can identify either the Economic operator, a Facility or a Machine.




Figure 3 Identifier codes general data flow

The Economic operators exchange the necessary information with the ID issuer. The ID issuer issues the Identifier codes to the requesting Economic operators, and transfers offline flat-files and registries directly to the Secondary repository through the Router.

### 3.3.2 Unique identifiers (UIs) for Unit packets and Aggregated packaging of tobacco products

The following diagram describes the key data flows whereby Economic operators such as Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, and Wholesalers request the issuing or deactivation of Unique identifiers (UIs) either for Unit packets or for Aggregated packaging of tobacco products.




Figure 4 Unique identifier (UI) general data flow

The Economic operators exchange the necessary information with the ID issuer. The ID issuer generates the codes and transmits the data to the corresponding Primary repositories or to the Secondary repository via the Router, before issuing the Unique identifiers (UIs) to the requesting Economic operators. The Primary repositories instantaneously forward the data to the Secondary repository.

The Secondary repository exposes a verification service allowing the ID issuer to check the validity of Identifier codes.

### 3.3.3 Report on Product movements

The following diagram describes the key data flows whereby Economic operators such as Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies or Providers of courier services report on tobacco products movements (application of UIs, dispatch, arrival, trans-loading, disaggregation, delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets).




Figure 5 Product movement data flow

The Manufacturers and Importers store the data directly into their Primary repositories. The Distributors and Wholesalers send reports to the corresponding Primary repositories via the Router. The Primary repositories instantaneously forward the data to the Secondary repository.

### 3.3.4 Report on Transactional events

The following diagram describes the key data flows whereby Economic operators such as Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, and Wholesalers report on tobacco products transactional events (issuing of the order number, issuing of the invoice, and receipt of the payment).




Figure 6 Transaction general data flow

The Manufacturers and Importers store the data directly into their Primary repositories. The Distributors and Wholesalers send reports to the corresponding Primary repositories via the Router. The Primary repositories instantaneously forward the data to the Secondary repository.

### 3.3.5 Recalls

Recalls are to be delivered in the following flow. The system containing the Primary repositories, the Secondary repository and the Router is to be regarded as one system.

This system needs to have an efficient method of recalling an event over the whole group of repositories. To facilitate it, it is the point of entry of the system that must generate the recall code.

#### **Use case 1. Router entry in the supply chain:**

- (i) The Economic operator calls the Router;
- (ii) the Router generates a UUID;
- (iii) the Router passes it to the relevant Primary repository;
- (iv) the Primary repository forwards it to the Secondary repository.

Should a recall be required, this can only be initiated from the Router, by the Distributor or Wholesaler Economic operator. The Router does the RCL call to the Router, the Router forwards this to the correct Primary repository, the Primary repository forwards this to the Secondary repository.

**Use case 2. Primary repository entry from the Manufacturer system.**

(i) The Economic operator calls the Primary repository; (ii) the Primary repository generates a UUID; (iii) the Primary repository passes this to the Secondary repository.

Should a recall be required, this can only be initiated from the Primary repository, by the Manufacturer or Importer Economic operator. The Primary repository does the RCL call to the Secondary repository.

**Technical details:**

The method the entry system must use to send the generated recall id is via the "code" property in the json payload.




Figure 7 Recalls general data flow

### 3.4 System Architecture




Figure 8 System Interfaces and Connections

The sub-systems of the Traceability System Architecture are as follows:

System	Description
Primary repository	Primary repository is a repository storing traceability data relating exclusively to the products of a given Manufacturer or Importer.
Secondary repository	Secondary repository is a repository containing a copy of all traceability data stored in each Primary repository.
Router	The Router enables messages to be forwarded and/or split to the related Primary repositories according to the declared ownership of the products.
ID issuer	ID Issuers are accountable to accommodate the request and generation of required identifiers (e.g., EOID, FID, MID, upUI, aUI), the storage of all associated data, and the sharing of National Registry, Flat-File, and algorithm compression/encryption techniques.

The interfaces of the Traceability System are as follows:

<b>Interface acronym</b>	<b>Hosting system</b>	<b>Description</b>
II2MN	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Manufacturers and Importers
II2DW	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Distributors and Wholesalers
PR2MN	Primary repository	Secure interface published by Primary repository providers for the internal Manufacturer system to push messages and recall those. No query nor data access for Manufacturer are allowed.
PR2AU	Primary repository	Secure interface published by Primary repository providers for competent Authorities
PR2RT	Primary repository	Secure interface published by Primary repository providers for Router communication
RT2II	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for the ID issuers.
RT2IIF	Router	File based Secure interface published by the Router for the ID issuers.
RT2EO	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for Manufacturers and Importers
RT2AU	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for Competent Authorities
SU2PR	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for the Primary repositories' providers
SU2CA	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Competent Authorities
SU2AU	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for auditing purposes
SU2RT	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Router
SU2RTF	Secondary repository	File based Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Router
SU2II	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Identifier Code verification purposes

### 3.5 Optional II2MN II2DW interfaces

The ID Issuer defines the communication between the EO and the ID issuer corresponding to interfaces II2MN and II2DW.

The proposed messages presented in this List Of Specification are sample messages to illustrate the overall flow of data from the EO to the Secondary repository. These messages should be considered as a Guideline with no obligation of implementation.

All messages part of the II2MN and II2DW interfaces are marked as optional in this document.

<b>Interface acronym</b>	<b>Hosting system</b>	<b>Description</b>
II2MN	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Manufacturers and Importers
II2DW	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Distributors and Wholesalers

## 4 Processes description

The following section describes in details the different processes, the data flows related to them and the list of corresponding messages and interfaces which are described further in the document as well as in the Data Dictionary.

### 4.1 Issuing Identifier codes

#### 4.1.1 Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code

##### *4.1.1.1 Description – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code*

Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies or Providers of courier services) and Operators of first retail outlets shall request Economic operator Identifier codes to the competent ID Issuers. The ID Issuers shall transmit the Identifier codes to the requesting Economic operators within two working days.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

##### *4.1.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code*

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an ID Issuer issues an Economic operator Identifier code.




Figure 9 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
REO (1.1)	Request for an Economic operator Identifier code	Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services), Operators of first retail outlets		ID issuer	

REOD	Issuing the Economic operator Identifier code	ID issuer		Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) Operators of first retail outlets	
------	---	-----------	--	--	--

*4.1.1.3 Messages – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code*

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID Issuer issues an Economic operator and issues an Economic operator Identifier code.

Process	Message code	Interface
Registration of an Economic operator	REO (1.1)	II2MN II2DW
	REOD	II2MN II2DW

4.1.2 Correction of information concerning an Economic operator

*4.1.2.1 Description – Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator*

Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services), and Operators of first retail outlets can request to the competent ID Issuers the correction of the information submitted in the initial application form for Economic operator Identifier codes.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

4.1.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an Economic operator or Operator of first retail outlets requests the correction of information submitted in the initial application form for Economic operator Identifier codes.




Figure 10 Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
CEO (1.2)	Request for the correction of information concerning an Economic operator	Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier)		ID issuer	

		services), Operators of first retail outlets			
--	--	---	--	--	--

**4.1.2.3 Messages – Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator**

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer corrects information concerning an Economic operator or an Operator of first retail outlet.

Process	Message code	Interface
Correction of information concerning an Economic operator or an Operator of first retail outlet.	CEO (1.2)	II2MN II2DW

**4.1.3 De-registration of Economic operator Identifier code**

**4.1.3.1 Description – De-registration of Economic operator Identifier code**

Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services), and Operators of first retail outlets can request to the competent ID issuers their de-registration.

Competent Authorities of Member States may also, in accordance with their national laws, require the ID issuer to deactivate an Economic operator Identifier code. In this case, this shall lead to the automatic deactivation of related Facility Identifier codes and Machine Identifier codes.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

**4.1.3.2 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of Economic operator Identifier code**

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an ID Issuer de-registers an Economic operator or an Operator of a first retail outlet.




Figure 11 De-registration of an Economic operator

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
DEO (1.3)	Request for the de-registration of an Economic operator	Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services), Operators of first retail outlets		ID issuer	

		Competent Authorities of Member States			
--	--	--	--	--	--

**4.1.3.3 Messages – De-registration of Economic operator Identifier code**

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID Issuer de-registers an Economic operator or an Operator of a first retail outlet.

Process	Message code	Interface
De-registration of an Economic operator or an Operator of first retail outlet.	DEO (1.3)	II2MN II2DW
De-registration of related Facilities	DFA (1.6)	II2MN II2DW
De-registration of related Machines	DMA (1.9)	II2MN

**4.1.4 Issuing of a Facility Identifier code**

**4.1.4.1 Description – Issuing of a Facility Identifier code**

All facilities from manufacturing to the first retail outlet shall be identified by a Facility Identifier code generated by the ID Issuer competent for the territory in which the Facility is located. Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) and Operators of first retail outlets shall request Facility Identifier codes to the competent ID Issuer. The ID issuers shall transmit the Identifier codes to the requesting Economic operators within two working days.

In the case of a first retail outlet, the Facility Identifier code may be requested by another registered Economic operator, subject to the consent of the operator of the first retail outlet.

In the case of manufacturing facilities located outside the Union, it is the responsibility of the Importer established inside the Union to request the related Facility Identifier code to any ID Issuer appointed by a Member State on whose market they place their products.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

**4.1.4.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of a Facility Identifier code**

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an ID Issuer issues a Facility Identifier code.




Figure 12 Issuing of a Facility Identifier code

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
RFA (1.4)	Request of a Facility Identifier code	Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of		ID issuer	

		courier services) Operators of first retail outlets			
RFAD	Response issuing the Facility Identifier code	ID issuer		Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) Operators of first retail outlets	

#### 4.1.4.3 Messages – Issuing of a Facility Identifier code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues a Facility Identifier code.

Process	Message code	Interface
Registration of a Facility	RFA (1.4)	II2MN II2DW
	RFAD	

#### 4.1.5 Correction of information concerning a Facility Identifier code

##### 4.1.5.1 Description – Correction of Information concerning a Facility Identifier code

Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) and Operators of first retail outlets can request to the competent ID issuers the correction of the information submitted in the initial application for Facility Identifier codes.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

4.1.5.2 Data Flow Diagram – Correction of Information concerning a Facility Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an Economic operator or operator of first retail outlets requests the correction of information submitted in the initial application form for Facility Identifier codes.




Figure 13 Correction of Information concerning a Facility

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
CFA (1.5)	Request for the correction of information concerning a Facility	Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services)		ID issuer	

		First retail outlets			
--	--	----------------------	--	--	--

#### 4.1.5.3 Messages – Correction of Information concerning a Facility Identifier Code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer corrects information concerning a Facility.

Process	Message code	Interface
Correction of information concerning a Facility	CFA (1.5)	II2MN I2DW

#### 4.1.6 De-registration of a Facility Identifier code

##### 4.1.6.1 Description – De-registration of a Facility Identifier code

Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) and Operators of first retail outlets can request to the competent ID issuers the de-registration of a Facility.

Competent Authorities of Member States may also, in accordance with their national laws, require the ID issuer to deactivate a Facility Identifier code. In this case, this shall lead to the automatic deactivation of related Machine Identifier codes.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

##### 4.1.6.2 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby the ID issuer de-registers a Facility.




Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
DFA (1.6)	Request for the de-registration of a Facility	Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) First retail outlets		ID issuer	

#### 4.1.6.3 Messages – De-registration of a Facility Identifier code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer de-registers a Facility.

Process	Message code	Interface
De-registration of a Facility	DFA (1.6)	II2MN II2DW
De-registration of related Machines	DMA (1.9)	II2MN

#### 4.1.7 Issuing of a Machine Identifier code

##### 4.1.7.1 Description – Issuing of a Machine Identifier code

Each Machine shall be identified by a Machine Identifier code generated by the ID Issuer competent for the territory in which the Machine is located. Manufacturers and Importers shall request Machine Identifier codes to the competent ID issuers. The ID issuers shall transmit the codes to the requesting Economic operators within two working days.

In the case of Machines located in manufacturing facilities outside the Union, it is the responsibility of the Importer established inside the Union to request the related Machine Identifier code to any ID Issuer appointed by a Member State on whose market they place their products.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

##### 4.1.7.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of a Machine Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues a Machine Identifier code.




Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of a Machine Identifier code

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
RMA (1.7)	Request for a Machine Identifier code	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers		ID issuer	
RMAD	Response issuing the Machine Identifier code	ID issuer		Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers	

#### 4.1.7.3 Messages – Issuing of a Machine Identifier code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues a Machine Identifier code.

Process	Message code	Interface
---------	--------------	-----------

Registration of a Machine	RMA (1.7)	II2MN
	RMAD	

#### 4.1.8 Correction of information concerning a Machine Identifier code

##### 4.1.8.1 Description – Correction of Information concerning a Machine Identifier code

Manufacturers and Importers can request to the competent ID issuers the correction of the information submitted in the initial application form for Machine Identifier codes.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary.

##### 4.1.8.2 Data Flow Diagram – Correction of Information concerning a Machine Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an Economic operator or operator of first retail outlets requests the correction of information submitted in the initial application form for Machine Identifier codes.




Figure 16 Data Flow Diagram – Correction of Information concerning a Machine

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
CMA (1.8)	Request the correction of information concerning a Machine	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers		ID issuer	

#### 4.1.8.3 Messages – Correction of Information concerning a Machine Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby the ID issuer corrects information concerning a Machine.

Process	Message code	Interface
Correction of Information concerning a Machine	CMA (1.8)	II2MN

#### 4.1.9 De-registration of a Machine Identifier code

##### 4.1.9.1 Description – De-registration of a Machine Identifier code

Manufacturers or Importers can request to the competent ID issuers the de-registration of a Machine.

Competent Authorities of Member States may also, in accordance with their national laws, require the ID issuer to deactivate a Machine Identifier code.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

##### 4.1.9.2 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby the ID issuer de-registers a Machine.




Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
DMA (1.9)	Request the de-registration of a Machine	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers		II2MN	

#### 4.1.9.3 Messages – De-registration of a Machine Identifier code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer de-registers a Machine.

Process	Message code	Interface
De-registration of a Machine	DMA (1.9)	II2MN

## 4.2 Issuing Unique identifiers (UIs)

### 4.2.1 Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs)

#### *4.2.1.1 Description – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets*

Each Unit packet of tobacco product manufactured or imported in the Union shall be marked with a unit level Unique identifier (upUI). Manufacturers and Importers shall introduce an electronic request to the competent ID Issuer for unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs), supplying all the necessary information. Within two working days from the receipt of the request, the ID Issuer shall in the order indicated (i) generate the codes, (ii) transmit the codes and the related information via the Router to the Primary repository of the requesting Manufacturers and/or Importers, and (iii) electronically transmit the codes to the requesting Manufacturers and/or Importers. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository. In some cases, the Manufacturers and/or Importers might request the ID issuer to transmit the codes physically, within ten working days.

**Note:** In addition, the ID issuers are responsible of establishing offline flat-files for offline checks of Unique identifiers (UIs) by Member States. ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all offline flat-files are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary.

#### *4.2.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets*

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Manufacturers and Importers request an ID issuer to generate unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets.




Figure 18 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
ISU (2.1)	Request for unit level UIs	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers		ID issuer	
ICV (optional)	Verification request for the Identification Codes	ID issuer			Secondary repository
IRU	Reporting of unit level UIs generated	ID issuer			Router
IRU	Routing of unit level UIs generated		Router		Secondary repository

	if message validated by the Router				
IRU	Transmission of unit level UIs generated if message validated by the Router		Secondary repository		Primary repository
IRU	Issuing of unit level UIs if message validated by the Router	ID issuer		Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers	

#### 4.2.1.3 Messages – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets.

Process	Message code	Interface
Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets	(2.1) ISU	II2MN
	ICV (optional)	SU2II
	IRU	RT2II
	IRU	
	IRU	PR2RT
	IRU	SU2PR

#### 4.2.2 Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging by ID issuers

**Note:** There are two methods for an Economic Operator to assign or generate aUIs, either by requesting from the competent ID Issuer, or by generating it themselves based on the regulation. GS1 aggregate packaging identifiers are referenced in Annex II, such as SSCC in accordance with ISO15459-1. The following section describes the process whereby the Economic Operator requests aggregated level UIs to the competent ID issuer.

##### 4.2.2.1 Issuing of aggregated level Unique Identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging by ID issuers – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

#### 4.2.2.1.1 Description – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

Each Aggregated packaging of tobacco product shall be marked with an aggregated level Unique identifier (UI). Manufacturers and Importers shall introduce an electronic request to the competent ID Issuer for aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), supplying all the necessary information. Within two working days from the receipt of the request, the ID issuer shall in the order indicated (i) generate the codes, (ii) transmit the codes and the related information via the Router to the corresponding Primary repository and (iii) electronically transmit the codes to the requesting Economic operator. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

**Note:** Alternatively Economic operators can directly generate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), independently from any ID issuer and report it using message “Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging”.

**Note:** In addition, the ID issuers are responsible of establishing offline flat-files for offline checks of Unique identifiers (UIs) by Member States. ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all offline flat-files are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

#### 4.2.2.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Manufacturers and Importers request an ID issuer to generate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging.




Figure 19 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
ISA (2.2)	Request for aggregated level UIs	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers		ID issuer	
ICV (optional)	Verification request for the Identification Codes	ID issuer			Secondary repository
IRA	Reporting of aggregated level UIs generated	ID issuer			Router
IRA	Routing of aggregated level UIs generated if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository
IRA	Transmission of aggregated level UIs generated		Primary repository		Secondary repository
IRA	Issuing of aggregated level UIs	ID issuer		Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers	

#### 4.2.2.1.3 Messages – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues aggregated level UIs for Aggregated packaging, after a request is made by Manufacturers or Importers.

Process	Message code	Interface
Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated	ISA (2.2)	II2MN
	ICV (optional)	SU2II
	IRA	

packaging – Request	IRA	RT2II
from Manufacturers and	IRA	PR2RT
Importers	IRA	SU2PR

#### 4.2.2.2 Issuing of aggregated level Unique Identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging by ID issuers – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

##### 4.2.2.2.1 Description – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

Each Aggregated packaging of tobacco product shall be marked with an aggregated level Unique identifier (aUI). Distributors and Wholesalers shall introduce an electronic request to the competent ID issuer for aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), supplying all the necessary information. Within two working days from the receipt of the request, the ID issuer shall, in the order indicated, (i) generate the codes, (ii) transmit the codes and the related information via the Router to the Secondary repository and (iii) electronically transmit the codes to the requesting Economic operator.

**Note:** Alternatively Economic operators can directly generate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), independently from any ID issuer and report it using the “Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging”.

**Note:** In addition, the ID issuers are responsible of establishing offline flat-files for offline checks of Unique identifiers (UIs) by Member States. ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all offline flat-files are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary.

##### 4.2.2.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Distributors and Wholesalers requests an ID issuer to generate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging.




Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
ISA (2.2)	Request for aggregated level UIs	Economic operators: Distributors / Wholesalers		ID issuer	
ICV (optional)	Verification request for the	ID issuer			Secondary repository

	Identification Codes				
IRA	Reporting of aggregated level UIs generated	ID issuer			Router
IRA	Routing of aggregated level UIs generated if message validated by the Router		Router		Secondary repository
IRA	Issuing of aggregated level UIs if message validated by the Router	ID issuer		Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers	

#### 4.2.2.2.3 Messages – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues aggregated level UIs for Aggregated packaging, after a request is made by Distributors or Wholesalers.

Process	Message code	Interface
Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers	ISA (2.2)	II2DW
	ICV (optional)	SU2II
	IRA	
	IRA	RT2II
	IRA	SU2RT

### 4.2.3 Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs)

#### 4.2.3.1 Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

##### 4.2.3.1.1 Description – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

Following the application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs), Manufacturers and Importers may obtain their deactivation by electronically transmitting the deactivation request to the Primary repository contracted by them. The deactivation shall not interfere with the integrity of the information already stored related to the Unique identifier.

**Note:** This process differs from the automatic deactivation of the UIs that occurs when the UIs have not been used after the six-months period of validity. It also differs from the process of Recall, whereby Manufacturers and Importers can cancel within one working day a request for issuance of UIs.

#### 4.2.3.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (uUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Manufacturers and Importers deactivate unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) in the Primary repository contracted by them.




Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
IDA (2.3)	Request deactivation of unit level UIs	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
IDA (2.3)	Transmission of deactivated unit level UIs		Primary repository		Secondary repository

4.2.3.1.3 Messages – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers deactivate unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) in the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers	IDA (2.3)	PR2MN
	IDA (2.3)	SU2PR

4.2.3.2 Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

4.2.3.2.1 Description – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

Following the application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs), Distributors and Wholesalers may obtain their deactivation by electronically transmitting the deactivation request to the Router that will route the request to the corresponding Primary repository. The deactivation shall not interfere with the integrity of the information already stored related to the Unique identifier.

**Note:** This process differs from the process of automatic deactivation of the UIs when the UIs have not been used after the six-month period of validity. It also differs from the process of recall, whereby Distributors and Wholesalers can cancel a request sent within one working day.

4.2.3.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Distributors and Wholesalers request a Primary repository via the Router to deactivate unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs).




Figure 22 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
IDA (2.3)	Request deactivation of unit level UIs	Economic operators: Distributors, Wholesalers			Router
IDA (2.3)	Routing request deactivation of unit level UIs		Router		Primary repository
IDA (2.3)	Transmission of deactivated unit level UIs		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.2.3.2.3 Messages – Deactivation of an aggregated Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers deactivate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) in the corresponding Primary repository via the Router.

Process	Message code	Interface
Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (uUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers	IDA (2.3)	RT2EO
	IDA (2.3)	PR2RT
	IDA (2.3)	SU2PR

#### 4.2.4 Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs)

##### 4.2.4.1 Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

###### 4.2.4.1.1 Description – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

Following the application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), Manufacturers and Importers may obtain their deactivation by electronically transmitting the deactivation request to the Primary repository contracted by them. The deactivation shall not interfere with the integrity of the information already stored related to the Unique identifier.

**Note:** This process differs from the automatic deactivation of the UIs that occurs when the UIs have not been used after the six-month period of validity. It also differs from the process of Recall, whereby Manufacturers and Importers can cancel within one working day a request for issuance of UIs.

###### 4.2.4.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Manufacturers and Importers deactivate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) in the Primary repository contracted by them.




Figure 23 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
IDA (2.3)	Request deactivation of aggregated level UIs	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
IDA (2.3)	Transmission of deactivated aggregated level UIs		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.2.4.1.3 Messages – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers deactivate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) in the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers	IDA (2.3)	PR2MN
	IDA (2.3)	SU2PR

#### 4.2.4.2 Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

##### 4.2.4.2.1 Description – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

Following the application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), Distributors and Wholesalers may obtain their deactivation by electronically transmitting the deactivation request to the Router that will route the request to the Secondary repository. The deactivation shall not interfere with the integrity of the information already stored related to the Unique identifier.

**Note:** This process differs from the process of automatic deactivation of the UIs when the UIs have not been used after the six-month period of validity. It also differs from the process of recall, whereby Distributors and Wholesalers can cancel a request sent within one working day.

##### 4.2.4.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Distributors and Wholesalers request the Router to deactivate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs).




Figure 24 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
IDA (2.3)	Request deactivation of aggregated level UIs	Economic operators: Distributors, Wholesalers			Router
IDA (2.3)	Routing request deactivation of aggregated level UIs		Router		Primary repository
IDA (2.3)	Transmission of deactivated aggregated level UIs		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.2.4.2.3 Messages – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers deactivate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) via the Router.

Process	Message code	Interface
Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers	IDA (2.3)	RT2EO
	IDA (2.3)	PR2RT
	IDA (2.3)	SU2PR

#### 4.2.5 Automatic deactivation of Unique Identifiers

The automatic deactivation of Unique Identifiers is performed on the Primary repository or the Distributors and Wholesalers. The deactivation messages will be sent to the Secondary repository or the Router.

### 4.3 Reporting operational events (product movement information)

#### 4.3.1 Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets

##### *4.3.1.1 Description – Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers*

Each Unit packet of tobacco product manufactured or imported in the Union shall be marked with a unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs). Once they collect the unit level codes from the ID issuer, Manufacturers and Importers incorporate the Unique identifiers (UIs) into the Data Carriers. Once the Data Carriers are printed/affixed onto the Unit packets, they are read and verified with scanners. When the Data Carriers are unreadable, the Manufacturers and Importers shall deactivate the corresponding unit level UIs. When the Data Carriers are readable, the Manufacturers and Importers shall validate them and report the corresponding unit level UIs to the Primary repository contracted by them, as described below. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

##### *4.3.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers*

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report unit level UIs to the Primary repository contracted by them.




Figure 25 Data Flow Diagram – Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EUA (3.1)	Report the application of unit level UI on Unit packet	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
EUA (3.1)	Transmit information on the application of unit level UI on Unit packet if message validated by Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

*4.3.1.3 Messages – Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets by Manufacturers and Importers*

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets to the Primary Repositories contracted by them.

<b>Process</b>	<b>Message code</b>	<b>Interface</b>
Application of unit level UI on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	EUA (3.1)	PR2MN
	EUA (3.1)	SU2PR

4.3.2 Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging

*4.3.2.1 Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers*

*4.3.2.1.1 Description – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers*

Where Manufacturers or Importers choose to comply with the recording obligations by means of the recording of aggregated packaging, each aggregated packaging of tobacco product shall be marked with an aggregated level Unique identifier (aUI). Manufacturers and Importers can request aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) to the competent ID Issuer or directly generate those following open standards (e.g. STGIN / SSCC). Once they collect the aggregated level codes from the ID issuer, Manufacturers and Importers incorporate the aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) into the Data Carriers. Once the Data Carriers are applied onto the Aggregated packaging, they are read and verified with scanners. When the Data Carriers are unreadable, the Manufacturers and Importers shall deactivate the corresponding aggregated level UIs. When the Data Carriers are readable, the Manufacturers and Importers shall validate them and report the corresponding aggregated level UIs to the Primary repository contracted by them, as described below. The report shall contain the list of all Unique identifiers (UIs) that are subject to aggregation, both at Unit packet and Aggregated packaging levels. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

#### 4.3.2.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) to the Primary repository contracted by them.




Figure 26 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EPA (3.2)	Report the application of aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
EPA (3.2)	Transmit information on the application of aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging		Primary repository		Secondary repository

	if message validated by Primary repository				
--	--	--	--	--	--

#### 4.3.2.1.3 Messages – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging.

Process	Message code	Interface
Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	EPA (3.2)	PR2MN
	EPA (3.2)	SU2PR

#### 4.3.2.2 Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

##### 4.3.2.2.1 Description – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

Where Distributors or Wholesalers choose to comply with the recording obligations by means of the recording of aggregated packaging, each Aggregated packaging of tobacco product shall be marked with an aggregated level Unique identifier (UI). Distributors and Wholesalers can request aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) to the competent ID issuer. Once they collect the aggregated level codes from the ID issuer, Distributors and Wholesalers incorporate the aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) into the Data Carriers. Once the Data Carriers are applied onto the Aggregated packaging, they are read and verified with scanners. When the Data Carriers are unreadable, Distributors and Wholesalers shall deactivate the corresponding aggregated level UIs. When the Data Carriers are readable, Distributors and Wholesalers shall validate them and report the aggregated level UIs to the Secondary repository via the Router, as described below. The report shall contain the list of all Unique identifiers (UIs) that are subject to aggregation, both at Unit packet and Aggregated packaging levels.

4.3.2.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) to the Secondary repository via the Router.




Figure 27 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging by Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EPA (3.2)	Report the application of aggregated	Economic operators: Distributors			Router

	level UIs on Aggregated packaging	, Wholesalers			
EPA (3.2)	Route the application of aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging		Router		Primary repository
EPA (3.2)	Route the application of aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging		Primary repository		Secondary repository

4.3.2.2.3 Messages – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging by Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesaler report the application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging.

Process	Message code	Interface
Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	EPA (3.2)	RT2EO
	EPA (3.2)	PR2RT
	EPA (3.2)	SU2PR

4.3.3 Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility

4.3.3.1 Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

4.3.3.1.1 Description – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

Manufacturers and Importers shall report to the Primary repository contracted by them the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility within 24 hours prior to the occurrence of the event. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

#### 4.3.3.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility.




Figure 28 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EDP (3.3)	Report the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
EDP (3.3)	Transmit information on the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility, if message validated by the Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository



#### 4.3.3.1.3 Messages – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility.

Process	Message code	Interface
Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	EDP (3.3)	PR2MN
	EDP (3.3)	SU2PR

#### 4.3.3.2 Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

##### 4.3.3.2.1 Description – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

Distributors and Wholesalers shall report to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility within 24 hours prior to the occurrence of the event. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

##### 4.3.3.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility.




Figure 29 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EDP (3.3)	Report the dispatch of tobacco products form a Facility	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router
EDP (3.3)	Route the information on the dispatch of tobacco products		Router		Primary repository

	form a Facility, if message validated by the Router				
EDP (3.3)	Transmit the information on the dispatch of tobacco products form a Facility, if message validated by the Router		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.3.3.2.3 Messages – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility.

Process	Message code	Interface
Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	EDP (3.3)	RT2EO
	EDP (3.3)	PR2RT
	EDP (3.3)	SU2PR

#### 4.3.4 Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility

##### 4.3.4.1 Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

###### 4.3.4.1.1 Description – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

Manufacturers and Importers shall report the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility to the Primary repository contracted by them. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

4.3.4.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility.




Figure 30 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
ERP (3.4)	Report the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
ERP (3.4)	Transmit information on the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility, if message validated by the Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

4.3.4.1.3 Messages – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	ERP (3.4)	PR2MN
	ERP (3.4)	SU2PR

4.3.4.2 Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

4.3.4.2.1 Description – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

Distributors and Wholesalers shall report the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

4.3.4.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility.




Figure 31 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
ERP (3.4)	Report the dispatch of tobacco products form a Facility	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router
ERP (3.4)	Route the information on the dispatch of tobacco products form a Facility, if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository
ERP (3.4)	Transmit the		Primary repository		Secondary repository

	information on the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility, if message validated by the Router				
--	---	--	--	--	--

#### 4.3.4.2.3 Messages – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility.

Process	Message code	Interface
Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	ERP (3.4)	RT2EO
	ERP (3.4)	PR2RT
	ERP (3.4)	SU2PR

#### 4.3.5 Trans-loading

##### 4.3.5.1 Trans-loading – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

###### 4.3.5.1.1 Description – Trans-loading – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

Trans-loading is any transfer of tobacco products from one vehicle to another during which tobacco products do not enter or exit a Facility. Manufacturers and Importers shall report trans-loading events to the Primary repository contracted by them, within 24 hours prior to the occurrence of the event. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

###### 4.3.5.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them the trans-loading of tobacco products.




Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading of tobacco products – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
ETL (3.5)	Report the trans-loading of tobacco products	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
ETL (3.5)	Transmit information on the trans-loading of tobacco products, if message validated by Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.3.5.1.3 Messages – Trans-loading – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the trans-loading of tobacco products to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
---------	--------------	-----------

Trans-loading of tobacco products – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	ETL (3.5)	PR2MN
	ETL (3.5)	SU2PR

#### 4.3.5.2 Trans-loading – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

##### 4.3.5.2.1 Description – Trans-loading – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

Trans-loading is any transfer of tobacco products from one vehicle to another during which tobacco products do not enter and exit a Facility. Distributors and Wholesalers shall report trans-loading events to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router, within 24 hours prior to the occurrence of the event. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

##### 4.3.5.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router the trans-loading of tobacco products.




Figure 33 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading of tobacco products – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
ETL (3.5)	Report the trans-loading of tobacco products	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router
ETL (3.5)	Route the information on the trans-loading of tobacco products, if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository
ETL (3.5)	Transmit the information on the trans-loading of tobacco products, if message validated by the Router		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.3.5.2.3 Messages- Trans-loading – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the trans-loading of tobacco products.

Process	Message code	Interface
Trans-loading of tobacco products – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	ETL (3.5)	RT2EO
	ETL (3.5)	PR2RT
	ETL (3.5)	SU2PR

#### 4.3.6 Disaggregation of aggregated level Unique identifier (UI)

#### 4.3.6.1 *Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers*

##### 4.3.6.1.1 Description – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

In the case of a disaggregation event whereby an aggregated level Unique identifier (aUI) was initially generated by an Economic operator and the Economic operator wants to reuse it in future operations, Manufacturers and Importers shall report the disaggregation of aggregated level Unique identifier (aUI) event to the Primary repository contracted by them. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

**Note:** The disaggregation report is only mandatory when the aggregated UI has been generated by the Economic Operator and will be re-used in future operations. The disaggregation of an aggregated packaging and its corresponding aggregated level UI does not lead to the deactivation of the unit level or aggregated level UIs that were contained in it.

#### 4.3.6.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them the disaggregation of aggregated level Unique identifier (UI).




Figure 34 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EUD (3.6)	Report the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
EUD (3.6)	Transmit information on the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs, if message validated by Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.3.6.1.3 Messages – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	EUD (3.6)	PR2MN
	EUD (3.6)	SU2PR

#### 4.3.6.2 Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

##### 4.3.6.2.1 Description – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

In the case of a disaggregation event whereby an aggregated level Unique identifier (aUI) was initially generated by an Economic operator and the Economic operator wants to reuse it in future operations, Distributors and Wholesalers shall report the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs to the Secondary repository via the Router.

**Note:** The disaggregation report is only mandatory when the aggregated UI has been generated by the Economic Operator and will be re-used in future operations. The disaggregation of an aggregated packaging and its corresponding aggregated level UI does not lead to the deactivation of the unit level or aggregated level UIs that were contained in it.

4.3.6.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report to the Secondary repository via the Router the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs.




Figure 35 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EUD (3.6)	Report the disaggregation of	Economic operators: Distributors			Router

	aggregated level UIs	, Wholesalers			
EUD (3.6)	Route the information on the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs, if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository
EUD (3.6)	Route the information on the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.3.6.2.3 Messages – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs.

Process	Message code	Interface
Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	EUD (3.6)	RT2EO
	EUD (3.6)	PR2RT
	EUD (3.6)	SU2PR

#### 4.3.7 Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets

##### 4.3.7.1 Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

###### 4.3.7.1.1 Description – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

Vending van is a vehicle used for the delivery of tobacco products to multiple retail outlets in quantities that have not been predetermined in advance of the delivery. Manufacturers and Importers shall report these events to the Primary repository contracted by them. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

#### 4.3.7.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets.




Figure 36 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EVR (3.7)	Report a delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
EVR (3.7)	Transmit information on delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail		Primary repository		Secondary repository

	outlets, if message validated by Primary repository				
--	---	--	--	--	--

4.3.7.1.3 Messages – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report a delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets.

Process	Message code	Interface
Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	EVR (3.7)	PR2MN
	EVR (3.7)	SU2PR

4.3.7.2 Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

4.3.7.2.1 Description – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

Vending van is a vehicle used for the delivery of tobacco products to multiple retail outlets in quantities that have not been predetermined in advance of the delivery. Distributors and Wholesalers shall report these events to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

4.3.7.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router the trans-loading of tobacco products.




Figure 37 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EVR (3.7)	Report a delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets	Economic operators: Distributors / Wholesalers			Router
EVR (3.7)	Route the information on delivery		Router		Primary repository

	carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets, if message validated by the Router				
EVR (3.7)	Transmit the information on delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets, if message validated by the Router		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.3.7.2.3 Messages – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report a delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets.

Process	Message code	Interface
Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	EVR (3.7)	RT2EO
	EVR (3.7)	PR2RT
	EVR (3.7)	SU2PR

## 4.4 Reporting transactional events (trade information)

### 4.4.1 Issuing of the invoice

#### 4.4.1.1 Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

#### 4.4.1.1.1 Description – Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

When in the position of vendors, Manufacturers and Importers shall report the issuing of invoice to the Primary repository contracted by them. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

#### 4.4.1.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the issuing of invoice to the Primary repository contracted by them.




Figure 38 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EIV (4.1)	Report the issuing of invoice	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
EIV (4.1)	Transmit information on the issuing of invoice, if message validated by the Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.4.1.1.3 Messages – Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the issuing of invoice to the Primary repository contracted by them.

<b>Process</b>	<b>Message code</b>	<b>Interface</b>
Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	EIV (4.1)	PR2MN
	EIV (4.1)	SU2PR

#### 4.4.1.2 Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

##### 4.4.1.2.1 Description – Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

When in the position of vendors, Distributors and Wholesalers shall report the issuing of invoice to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

##### 4.4.1.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the issuing of invoice to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router.




Figure 39 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EIV (4.1)	Report the issuing of invoice	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router
EIV (4.1)	Route the information on the issuing of invoice, if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository
EIV (4.1)	Transmit the information on the issuing of invoice, if message validated by the Router		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.4.1.2.3 Messages – Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the issuing of invoice to the corresponding Primary repository.

Process	Message code	Interface
Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	EIV (4.1)	RT2EO
	EIV (4.1)	PR2RT
	EIV (4.1)	SU2PR

#### 4.4.2 Issuing of the order number

##### 4.4.2.1 Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

#### 4.4.2.1.1 Description – Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

When in the position of vendors, Manufacturers and Importers shall report the issuing of order number to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Depending on the characteristics of the Economic operator, the report shall be transmitted within 3 or 24 hours from the occurrence of the event. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

#### 4.4.2.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the issuing of order number to the Primary repository contracted by them.




Figure 40 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EPO (4.2)	Report the issuing of order number	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
EPO (4.2)	Transmit information on the issuing of order number, if message validated by the Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.4.2.1.3 Messages – Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the issuing of order number to the Primary repository contracted by them.

<b>Process</b>	<b>Message code</b>	<b>Interface</b>
Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	EPO (4.2)	PR2MN
	EPO (4.2)	SU2PR

#### 4.4.2.2 Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

##### 4.4.2.2.1 Description – Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

When in the position of vendors, Distributors and Wholesalers shall report the issuing of order number to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

##### 4.4.2.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the issuing of order number to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router.




Figure 41 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EPO (4.2)	Report the issuing of order number	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router
EPO (4.2)	Route the information on the issuing of order number, if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository
EPO (4.2)	Transmit information on the issuing of order number, if message validated by the Router		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.4.2.2.3 Messages – Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the issuing of order number to the corresponding Primary repository.

Process	Message code	Interface
Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	EPO (4.2)	RT2EO
	EPO (4.2)	PR2RT
	EPO (4.2)	SU2PR

#### 4.4.3 Receipt of the payment

##### 4.4.3.1 Receipt of the payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

#### 4.4.3.1.1 Description – Receipt of the payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

When in the position of vendors, Manufacturers and Importers shall report the receipt of payment to the Primary repository contracted by them. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

#### 4.4.3.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of the payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the receipt of payment to the Primary repository contracted by them.




Figure 42 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EPR (4.3)	Report the receipt of payment	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
EPR (4.3)	Transmit information on the receipt of payment, if message validated by the Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.4.3.1.3 Messages – Receipt of the payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the receipt of payment to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Receipt of the payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	EPR (4.3)	PR2MN
	EPR (4.3)	SU2PR

#### 4.4.3.2 Receipt of payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

##### 4.4.3.2.1 Description – Receipt of the payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

When in the position of vendors, Distributors and Wholesalers shall report the receipt of payment to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

##### 4.4.3.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of the payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the receipt of payment to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router.




Figure 43 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesaler

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EPR (4.3)	Report the receipt of payment	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router
EPR (4.3)	Route the information on the receipt of payment, if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository

EPR (4.3)	Transmit information on the receipt of payment, if message validated by the Router		Primary repository		Secondary repository
-----------	--	--	--------------------	--	----------------------

#### 4.4.3.2.3 Messages – Receipt of the payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the receipt of payment to the corresponding Primary repository.

Process	Message code	Interface
Receipt of payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	EPR (4.3)	RT2EO
	EPR (4.3)	PR2RT
	EPR (4.3)	SU2PR

## 4.5 Recalls of requests, operational and transactional messages

### 4.5.1 Recalls of operational and transactional messages

#### 4.5.1.1 Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers

##### 4.5.1.1.1 Description – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers

In order to recall reports concerning operational or transactional events, Manufacturers and Importers shall send a recall message to the Primary repository contracted by them, including the Message Recall Code previously transmitted by the Primary repository. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository. Reasons for Recalls are either that the reported event did not materialize (for Dispatch and Trans-loading events, since they must be reported prior to the occurrence of the event), the original message contained erroneous information, or other reason. A recall with respect to operational events results in flagging the recalled message as cancelled but does not lead to the deletion of the existing database record.

4.5.1.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers recall an operational or transactional message to the Primary repository contracted by them.




Figure 44 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
RCL (5)	Recall of operational and transactional event message	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers			Primary repository
RCL (5)	Transmit information on the recall of operational and transactional event message, if message validated by the		Primary repository		Secondary repository

	Primary repository				
--	--------------------	--	--	--	--

#### 4.5.1.1.3 Messages – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers recall an operational or transactional message to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Recall of operational and transactional event message – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers	RCL (5)	PR2MN
	RCL (5)	SU2PR

#### 4.5.1.2 Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers

##### 4.5.1.2.1 Description – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers

In order to recall reports concerning operational or transactional events, Distributors and Wholesalers shall send a recall message to the Router, including the Message Recall Code previously transmitted by the Router. The Router shall report the recall to the corresponding Primary repository. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository. Reasons for Recalls are either that the reported event did not materialize (for Dispatch and Trans-loading events, since they must be reported prior to the occurrence of the event), the original message contained erroneous information, or other reason. A recall with respect to operational events results in flagging the recalled message as cancelled but does not lead to the deletion of the existing database record.

##### 4.5.1.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers recall an operational or transactional message to the Router.




Figure 45 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		To	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
RCL (5)	Recall of operational and transactional event message	Economic operators: Distributors and Wholesalers			Router
RCL (5)	Route the Recall of operational and transactional event message, if		Router		Primary repository

	message validated by the Router				
RCL (5)	Transmit the Recall of operational and transactional event message, if message validated by the Router		Primary repository		Secondary repository

#### 4.5.1.2.3 Messages – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers recall an operational or transactional message to the Router.

<b>Process</b>	<b>Message code</b>	<b>Interface</b>
Recall of operational and transactional event message – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers	RCL (5)	RT2EO
	RCL (5)	PR2RT
	RCL (5)	SU2PR

## 5 Interfaces

### 5.1 Overview

The interfaces of the Traceability System are as follows:




Figure 46 System interfaces and endpoints

### 5.2 Secondary repository and Router interface

The Secondary repository offers two methods of interaction:

- a) An application programmable interface (API)

This is the main entry point for data ingress from the ID issuer, the Primary repositories and the Router.

- b) A graphical user interface (GUI)

The GUI is used for reporting purposes and some entity sign up processes.

All of the GUIs offered rely on browser-based html/JavaScript technics and support current browsers from major browser suppliers (e.g. Google Chrome, Internet Explorer, Firefox, Opera)

#### 5.2.1 Secondary repository and Router application programmable interface

Interface acronym	Hosting system	Description
-------------------	----------------	-------------

RT2II	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for the ID issuers.
RT2IIF	Router	Secure File interface published by the Router for the ID issuers.
RT2EO	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for Manufacturers and Importers
RT2AU	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for Competent Authorities
SU2PR	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for the primary repository's providers
SU2CA	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for competent authorities
SU2AU	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for auditing purposes
SU2RT	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Router
SU2RTF	Secondary repository	File based Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Router
SU2II	Secondary Repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Verification purposes

## 5.2.2 Methods of interaction.

### 5.2.2.1 JSON interface

The API is offered with an http based RestAPI with JSON parameters. Details of the interfaces offered, and supported messages are defined in this document. HTTP POST method is used for all calls (except the second asynchronous file upload HTTP PUT).

### 5.2.2.2 WSDL/SOAP interface

The Eu Secondary and router, in addition of the supporting JSON interfaces, supports GS1 EPCIS version 1.2 XML data binding and a WSDL/SOAP web services binding for a number of messages.

## 5.2.3 Encoding

All messages are encoded in UTF-8

## 5.2.4 Secured communication

Communication between the Secondary repository and interacting participants of the tobacco industry is secured by TSL 1.2 encryption AES256 cypher. Cypher suites that are less secure are not supported. If the TLS version or cypher used proves to be corroded or vulnerable, Dentsu Aegis reserves the right to replace the affected item with a state-of-the-art item after prior announcement.

The repository system uses OAuth 2.0 to authorize access to the web service methods. OAuth 2.0 is the industry-standard protocol for authorization. OAuth 2.0 supersedes the work done on the original OAuth protocol created in 2006. OAuth 2.0 focuses on client developer simplicity while providing specific authorization flows for web applications, desktop applications and server to server communication.

The system uses the OAuth client credential flow. The client credentials flow is used as an authorization grant as the authorization scope is limited to the protected resources previously arranged with the authorization server (the server being the Secondary repository).

Access tokens are issued as credentials used to access protected resources. An access token is a string representing an authorization issued to the client. The string is opaque to the client and passed in the authentication header. Tokens represent specific scopes and durations of access, granted by the resource owner, and enforced by the resource server and authorization server. Tokens have an expiry of 3600 seconds (1 hour).

#### 5.2.5 Version and backward compatibility

Dentsu Aegis provides an API versioning approach using a version identifier in the URL.

Example URL: <https://{seconardayUrl}/v1>

We currently see no reason to make a breaking change or enhancement that would require a V2 to be added. This convention is in place to facilitate all eventualities in the future.

Our goal would be to make releases to the API that are non-breaking by being backward compatible, for example adding additional return properties, not removing old ones.

#### 5.2.6 Message identification and RecallCode

##### 5.2.6.1 Overview

The traceability system and more precisely the entry point systems (Primary repository and Router) assigns a unique identifier to each message. This unique identifier is the RecallCode.

When the message is routed and transmitted to the Secondary repository via the primary repositories, the RecallCode issued by the Router is forwarded to the primary repository.

##### 5.2.6.2 EPCIS eventID and RecallCode

In the case of the EPCIS interface, the EPCIS 1.2 protocol doesn't allow the transmission of the identification information back to the sender. The eventID field provided by the sender will be used as RecallCode.

##### 5.2.6.3 Message Recall

Economic operators have the possibility to recall requests, operational and transactional messages transmitted to the Secondary repository.

The reasons for recalling the original message may be:

1. Reported event did not materialise (only for messages related to dispatch events and trans-loading)
2. Message contained erroneous information
3. Other

#### 5.2.6.4 RecallCode structure

UUID version 5

#### 5.2.6.5 Messages

The following table describes the messages that are subject to Recall.

	<b>Annex II Reference</b>	
<i>ISU</i>	<i>(2.1)</i>	<i>Request for unit level UIs</i>
<i>IRU</i>		<i>Data Request for unit level UIs</i>
<i>ISA</i>	<i>(2.2)</i>	Request for reporting the issuance of Unique Identifiers at aggregated level
<i>IRA</i>		Data Request for reporting the issuance of Unique Identifiers at aggregated level
<i>EUA</i>	<i>(3.1)</i>	<i>Application of unit level UIs on unit packets</i>
<i>EPA</i>	<i>(3.2)</i>	<i>Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging</i>
<i>EDP</i>	<i>(3.3)</i>	<i>Dispatch of tobacco products from a facility</i>
<i>ERP</i>	<i>(3.4)</i>	<i>Arrival of tobacco products at a facility</i>
<i>ETL</i>	<i>(3.5)</i>	<i>Trans-loading</i>
<i>EUD</i>	<i>(3.6)</i>	<i>Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs</i>
<i>EVR</i>	<i>(3.7)</i>	<i>Report of delivery carried out with a vending van to retail outlet</i>
<i>EIV</i>	<i>(4.1)</i>	<i>Issuing of the invoice</i>
<i>EPO</i>	<i>(4.2)</i>	<i>Issuing of the order number</i>
<i>EPR</i>	<i>(4.3)</i>	<i>Receipt of the payment</i>

#### 5.2.6.6 Recall Process

The recall must include the message recall code provided to the message sender in the acknowledgement of the original message to be recalled and must also contain the following information:

- Reason for recalling the original message
- Description of the reason for recalling the original message
- Any additional explanations on the reason for recalling the original message

A recall with respect to operational and logistic events results in flagging the recalled message as cancelled but does not lead to the deletion of the existing database record.

#### 5.2.6.7 RecallCode Field

Technically the recall code is gained from the original message's "code" property:

**Example response:**

```
{
  "Code": "6854f9a6-a2b2-4c08-8000-0173f3c35567",
  "Message_Type": "EPA",
  "Error": false,
  "Errors": null
}
```

Where the "Code" is the recall id.

## 5.2.7 Message response

*5.2.7.1 Successful response sample*

HTTP Status 202

```
{
  "Code": " 6854f9a6-a2b2-4c08-8000-0173f3c35567",
  "Message_Type": "EPA",
  "Error": false,
  "Errors": null,
  "Checksum": "G6HF5H"
}
```

*5.2.7.2 Error response sample*

The system should provide the sufficient details to allow external systems, administrators to identify precisely the issue in order to act accordingly.

The response message can contain a list of error

```
"Errors": [
  { << Error >> },
  { << Error >> },
  { << Error >> },
],
```

Each error contains the following information.

- **Error\_InternalID** is the unique identification of the message processing and validation activity.
- **Error\_Code** is the identifier of the type within the systems.
- **Error\_Descr** is the description in human readable format containing specific error information
- **Error\_Data** is the data for which the error is talking about. This can be used for EO\_IDs, F\_IDs, M\_IDs and UIs.
  - Note: use # as separator for the UI in case a list of UI is provided in the error data field.

**Example of List of errors**

```
{
  "Error_InternalID": "yndkFz7TBEO706frD38hza",
  "Error_Code": "INVALID_REQUEST_FORMAT",
  "Error_Descr": "The EconomicOperatorIdentifier field is unknown."
  "Error_Data": "123456789123456#123456789123455#123456789123444"
}
```

```
}

```

### Security errors

HTTP status	Error Code	
401		Invalid security token
401		Expired security token

### Processing errors

HTTP status	Error Code	
400	INVALID_REQUEST_FORMAT	This error is returned when at least one of the mandatory fields are missing.
400	INVALID_MESSAGE_TYPE	When the field "Message_Type" is out of the defined list.
400	INVALID_INPUT_FORMAT	When the body of the message doesn't contain a valid JSON.
500	SYSTEM_ERROR	Internal system error.

### Error body sample

```
{
  "Code": null,
  "Message_Type": null,
  "Error": true,
  "Errors": [
    {
      "Error_InternalID": "yndkFz7TBEO706frD38hzA",
      "Error_Code": "INVALID_REQUEST_FORMAT",
      "Error_Descr": "The EconomicOperatorIdentifier field is required.",
      "Error_Data": "54G7J"
    }
  ],
  "Checksum": "G6HF5H"
}
```

### 5.2.8 Forward Rejected Messages.

It is a requirement that the Secondary repository must store validation failures, this including failures that occur on the Primary repositories and the Router.

A rejected message is defined as a message that fails due to a business validation reason. The validation messages are described in the following sections:

- section Unique Identifier validation
- section Identification Code validation
- section Message Event Time Validation
- section Recall Validation

It is not expected that the Secondary repository is sent failed authentication attempts, badly formed messages or anything other than the validations listed in the above sections.

### 5.2.8.1 Message Rejection processing

In case the message fails the validation, the system should

- log the rejected message
- log the response information
- send an error message to the requesting system with the details

### 5.2.8.2 The message should contain

- The original request
- The optional base request sections

```
{
  "EO_ID": "Z25Q1H44IB3002078572YSHR",
  "F_ID": "OVERSEEING9220693452TACTL",
  "Event_Time": "19032014",
  "aUI": "testparent_sdgdg",
  "Aggregation_Type": 1,
  "Aggregated_UIs1": [
    "123456789123456789"
  ],
  "Aggregated_UIs2": null,
  "aUI_comment": "Comments",
  "Message_Type": "EPA",
  "Code": null,
  "RejectionData": {
    "Errors": [
      {
        "Error_Code": "INVALID_REQUEST_FORMAT",
        "Error_Descr": "The EconomicOperatorIdentifier does not exist.",
        "ErrorData": "123456789123456789"
      }
    ]
  }
}
```

### 5.2.9 Message integrity and hash

The Repository system will verify the message checksum to ensure that the data was not tampered with between parts of the whole Repository system. Messages where the hash is not valid shall not be accepted.

This integrity check ensures that the messages making up traffic cannot be altered in transit or within the parts of the Repository system, neither can messages be added or removed from the sequence, without detection.

The client adds a MD5 hash to the X-OriginalHash HTTP header. This structure is then added to the message

#### Message Header

X-OriginalHash	1234567890abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxy
Content-Type	application/json
Authorization	<Token>

HTTP status	Error Code	
401	INVALID_SIGNATURE	"The message signature does not match"

### 5.2.10 Message size

#### 5.2.10.1 Message size

The maximum message size is 6MB.

The limit on the HTTP header size is 10'240 bytes.

#### 5.2.10.2 Maximum number of UI

The online sequence validation controls require the limitation of the number of UI (sum of the unit level UI and the aggregated level UI) per message for the following events

Message Type	Annex II Reference	Message description	Number of UI (upUI + aUI)
IDA	(2.3)	Request for deactivation of UIs	50 000
EUA	(3.1)	Application of unit level UIs on unit packets	50 000
EPA	(3.2)	Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging	50 000
EDP	(3.3)	Dispatch Event	50 000
ERP	(3.4)	Reception event	50 000
ETL	(3.5)	Trans-loading event	50 000
EUD	(3.6)	Message to report an UID disaggregation	50 000
EVR	(3.7)	Report the delivery carried out with a vending van to retail outlet	50 000
EIV	(4.1)	Message to report an invoice	50 000
EPO	(4.2)	Purchase order	50 000
EPR	(4.3)	Payment record	50 000

### 5.2.11 Number of simultaneous connections

No limit defined on simultaneous connections for the Router and the Secondary Repository.

### 5.2.12 Message Sequence

Message sequence must be respected as per regulation.

### 5.2.13 Buffering and Burst transmissions

Messages should be transmitted continuously by the different systems without buffering.

In case of technical buffering caused by technical maintenance activities, the transmitting system should implement mechanism to ensure the correct sequencing of the events.

### 5.2.14 Message Retransmission limitation

A message that was positively acknowledged shall not be retransmitted a second time.

### 5.2.15 Connectivity Test Message

A Connectivity Test Message (CTM) is implemented on the interface PR2RT. This message is sent by the Router to check the availability and the security configuration of the endpoint.

Interface acronym	Hosting system	Description
PR2RT	Primary repository	Secure interface published by Primary repository providers for Router communication

### 5.2.16 Duplicate message validation

Retransmission of successful messages introduce an unnecessary load and negatively impact the data visualization and reporting.

A validation of duplicate successful message is included in order to eliminate such duplicate retransmission of successful messages.

#### 5.2.16.1 *New Message validation*

Upon reception of a message, the first entry point (the EU Router or the Primary Repository) validates the messages and assign a unique RecallCode.

If the message passes the validation and is accepted (returning a success response Status 200 or 202) the message is processed by the system and is not expected to be received again.

In case the successful message is retransmitted (identical payload) to the system, the system will return a duplicate message validation error (adding the recallcode corresponding to the original successful message) (Status 400).

Note: in case the message validation fails, the transmitting system is able to send the same message.

#### 5.2.16.2 *Routed or forwarded message validation*

When the message is routed or forwarded, the message contains a RecallCode. The system will maintain the list of all successful RecallCode corresponding to the successful messages.

In case the incoming message RecallCode indicate that the message has been processed successfully, the system returns a validation error.

### 5.3 EPCIS and EDI Support

#### 5.3.1 Overview

GS1 EPCIS and EDI provide optional message formats, which deliver equivalent data when used with GS1 aggregate level or aggregate and unit level identifiers. This permits one message to support traditional retail supply chain business or end-to-end traceability requirements and to support EU 2018/574 reporting.

At

[https://www.gs1.org/docs/epc/FightingIllicitTradeEPCIS\\_Application\\_Standard.pdf](https://www.gs1.org/docs/epc/FightingIllicitTradeEPCIS_Application_Standard.pdf), GS1 has published a GS1 EPCIS Application Standard for Fighting Illicit Trade, particularly in the context of EU 2018/574.

#### 5.3.2 PRODUCT MOVEMENT EVENTS

<b>Ref</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>EPCIS</b>
EUA	(3.1)	Application of unit level UIs on unit packets	EPCIS Object Event (business step "Commissioning")
EPA	(3.2)	Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging	EPCIS Aggregation Event (business step "Packing")
EDP	(3.3)	Dispatch Event	EPCIS Object Event (business step "Shipping")
ERP	(3.4)	Reception event	EPCIS Object Event (business step "Arriving")
ETL	(3.5)	Trans-loading event	EPCIS Object Event (business step "transloading")
EUD	(3.6)	Message to report an UID disaggregation	EPCIS Aggregation Event (business step "Unpacking")
EVR	(3.7)	Report the delivery carried out with a vending van to retail outlet	EPCIS Object Event (business step "Arriving")

#### 5.3.3 TRANSACTIONAL EVENTS

<b>Ref</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>EDI</b>
EIV	(4.1)	Message to report an invoice	EDI: Invoice
EPO	(4.2)	Purchase order	EDI: Order
EPR	(4.3)	Payment record	EDI: Settlement

#### 5.3.4 ERROR HANDLING MESSAGE

<b>Ref</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>EPCIS</b>
RCL	(5.0)	Recall messages	

### 5.3.5 EPCIS Recall Management

EPCIS negation of a previous event by means of a subsequent, identical event that contains an ErrorDeclaration, and whose eventID is equal to the eventID of the prior (erroneous/recalled) event.

## 5.4 EU Wide Register

### 5.4.1 Overview

Each ID Issuer shall establish and maintain:

- A **Registry** that contains the Identifier codes for the Economic operators, Operators of first retail outlets, Machines and Facilities.
- A **Flat file** allowing for the extraction of information encoded in the Unique Identifiers by the verification devices used for offline checks.

An up-to-date copy of these Registries and Flat files, along with the corresponding information is transferred electronically via the Router to the Secondary repository and compiled into a EU Wide Register.

The **Offline flat files** are transferred to the Competent Authorities of the Member States and contain the sufficient information to allow the off line decoding of UIs by the Verification devices.

The following diagram describes the general flow of data.




Figure 47 EU Wide Register Flow

## 5.4.2 Registry

### 5.4.2.1 Overview

The Registry stores data on the Economic operator, Facility and Machine Identifier codes.




Figure 48 Registry file structure

Filename	Description
EconomicIdentifiers.csv	Contain the Economic operators Identifier codes along with the corresponding information
Facilities.csv	Contain the Facility Identifier codes along with the corresponding information
Machines.csv	Contain the Machine Identifier codes along with the corresponding information

Please note that it is assumed that the update of the Registry file is performed incrementally, meaning only new or changed items are included in the update file.

### 5.4.2.2 EU Wide Registry Governance

#### 5.4.2.2.1 Overview

ID Issuers are mandated to provision and maintain the EU Wide Register. In some cases, identifier might be transferred from one ID Issuer to another ID Issuer. The second ID issuer is then responsible to maintain the record (economic Operator, facility and machine).

In order to control this process and avoid accidental record update, a technical ownership mechanism is implemented on each record of the EU Wide Register.

#### 5.4.2.2.2 Technical ownership

The technical ownership of each record is defined by the identification code of the ID Issuer (IIID). This field is provided by the ID Issuer that create the record (economic Operator, facility and machine).

#### 5.4.2.2.3 Technical Record Transfer

An ID Issuer can transfer the technical ownership of a record to another ID Issuer by updating the technical ownership field. Once the information is successfully processed, only the new ID Issuer would be authorized to update the record.

## 5.4.3 Flat Files

The Flat files contain data in a plain text format allowing for the extraction of information encoded in the Unique Identifiers used at the unit packet and aggregated packaging levels.

Two formats of flat files are available

- Flat File type I
- Flat File type II

The ID Issuer should choose one of the formats during the setup phase.

#### 5.4.3.1 Flat File type I format

The flat file type I format presents the minimal set of files required to provide the information required to decode the UI in an offline manner.




Figure 49 Flat File structure

Filename	Description
ProductLookup.csv	Product based lookup data provides <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the product description;</li> <li>• the intended market of retail sale;</li> <li>• the intended shipment route;</li> <li>• where applicable, the importer into the Union;</li> </ul>
ManufacturerLookup.csv	Manufacture based lookup data provides <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the place of manufacturing;</li> <li>• the manufacturing facility referred to in Article 16;</li> <li>• the machine used to manufacture the tobacco products referred to in Article 18;</li> </ul>
RegularExpression.csv	(optional) A list of regular expression to extract Product lookup and/or MID / FID from the code.

The update of the Flat files shall be performed in a non-incremental way, meaning all information should be present, when uploaded via the full upload ULO call.

The update of the Flat files shall be performed in an incremental way when uploaded via the PLO call.

#### 5.4.3.2 Flat Filetype II format

The flat file type II format presents the most granular lookup capabilities.




Figure 50 Granular Flat File Structure

Filename	Description
ProductLookup.csv	Product based lookup data provides <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the product description;</li> </ul>
MachineLookup.csv	Machine based lookup data provides <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the machine used to manufacture the tobacco products referred to in Article 18;</li> </ul>
FacilityLookup.csv	Facility based lookup data provides <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the place of manufacturing;</li> <li>the manufacturing facility referred to in Article 16;</li> </ul>
ImporterLookup.csv	
TargetMarketLookup.csv	Target Market based lookup data provides <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the intended market of retail sale;</li> </ul>
RouteLookup.csv	Route based lookup data provides <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the intended shipment route;</li> </ul>

#### 5.4.4 ULO - Full Flat file and Registry upload

#### 5.4.4.1 Flat file and Registry interface to upload from the ID Issuers

A webservice will be exposed on the Router endpoint. This endpoint will be secured with the same authentication rules as the Router, in that a valid Router OAuth token will be required, linked to an EOID.

The first request will return the upload URL that will be used to upload the file.

In the call definition of the call made to get the upload link, the caller needs to specify if they would like to upload a "Registry" file or a "Flat file". This is defined in the Data Dictionary. This first call is performed using a POST method.

#### 5.4.4.2 Asynchronous Flat file and Registry processing and notification

An HTTP PUT call on the upload URL is performed to upload the file.

The files are sent by the ID issuer to the Router.

The Router validate the format and the content of the file.

If error, the file is rejected, an error message is sent and the ID issuer has to resubmit the corrected file. The data will NOT be loaded into the EU Wide Register. The processing result is logged in the audit trail and a notification will be transmitted to the initiator of the request.

Once validated the file is uploaded in the EU Wide Register. A notification email is sent to the ID Issuer.

#### 5.4.4.3 Asynchronous Flat file and Registry optional call-back

In order to automate the integration, a call-back can be used to communicate the status of the file processing.

The call-back interface will implement the requirements defined in the Secondary repository and Router interface.

#### 5.4.4.4 Files format

The file must be sent in a zip format and adhere to the following standards:

		<b>Description</b>
CSV separator	;	(semicolon)
File text encoding	UTF-8	
CSV text symbol	"	(double quotes) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All "characters in the text need to be escaped using double quotes. Ex: "Text abc; continued";123;4567;"TextColumn4 with a ""quoted note"" here";"LastColumn"</li> <li>Each of the embedded double-quote characters must be represented by a pair of double-quote characters.</li> </ul>
Optional field		Optional field should be filled with "N/A"

#### 5.4.4.5 Upload file size

Files shall be no larger than 2 GB per ID issuer.

#### 5.4.5 PLO - Incremental Flat file and Registry upload

##### 5.4.5.1 overview

This optional interface allows the ID issuer to update the Flat File and the Register in an incremental manner and in a synchronous call.

Note that Incremental update only adds and updates the information.

##### 5.4.5.2 Processing

The validation on the PLO call will be done synchronously and the response will be transmitted directly to the requestor.

Once validated the file is uploaded in the EU Wide Register.

#### 5.4.6 Offline Flat Files

##### 5.4.6.1 Content and Structure




Figure 51 Offline Flat File structure

Filename	Path	Description
audit.csv	/	Contain technical audit information
idissuers.csv	/	Contain the ID Issuer Unique Identification Code along with the corresponding information
Countries.csv	/Master	Contain list of country codes
Facilitytype.csv	/Master	Contain list of facility types
Tobaccoproducttype.csv	/Master	Contain list of Tobacco product Type
Transportmode.csv	/Master	Contain list of Transport modes
EconomicIdentifiers.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the Economic operators Identifier codes along with the corresponding information
Facilities.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the Facility Identifier codes along with the corresponding information
Machines.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the Machine Identifier codes along with the corresponding information
Flat File type I		
Productlookup.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the flat file lookup product information
Machinelookup.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the flat file lookup machine information
RegularExpression.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	Optional file containing the Regular Expression.
Flat File type II		
Productlookup.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the flat file lookup product information
Machinelookup.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the flat file lookup machine information
FacilityLookup.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	
ImporterLookup.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	
TargetMarketLookup.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	
RouteLookup.csv	/<<< issuer prefix >>	

#### 5.4.6.2 Audit file

For technical purposes the Offline Flat File contains the audit.csv file. The file contains the following information

- Version
- Timestamp of the generation
- UUID (file identification number)

#### 5.4.6.3 Access Security

A webservice will be exposed on the Router endpoint. This endpoint will be secured with the same authentication rules as the Router.

#### 5.4.6.4 Offline Flat File Security

Due to the sensitive nature of the content of the offline flat file, the file is encrypted, and password protected.

The credential will be provided during the request of the file.

## 5.5 Identifier Code Verification Service

### 5.5.1 Overview

The purpose of this services is to allow the ID Issuers to check

- the validity of the EOID, FID and MID.
- the validity of the relation between EOID, FID and MID, or EOID and FID.

### 5.5.2 Interface

<b>Interface acronym</b>	<b>Hosting system</b>	<b>Description</b>
SU2II	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Identifier Code verification purposes

### 5.5.3 Verification Result

The service validates the existence and the validity of the EOID, FID and the MID.

- If the EOID, FID and the MID are defined in the EU Wide Register and marked as active, the response will be true.
- If the EOID, FID and the MID are not defined in the EU Wide Register or marked as inactive, the response will be false.

The service validates the relation of the EOID, FID and the MID.

- If the EOID, FID and the MID are valid and If the relation between the EOID, FID and the MID is defined in the EU Wide Register, the response will be true.

## 5.6 Unique Issuer Message Delivery Verification Service

### 5.6.1 Overview

The purpose of this services is to allow the ID Issuers to check if a UI issuance message IRU (response to the message 2.1 described in annex 2) has been successfully delivered to the repository.

The ID Issuer will be able to receive the delivery status for any IRU that it generated based on the recallcode.

### 5.6.2 Interface

<b>Interface acronym</b>	<b>Hosting system</b>	<b>Description</b>
SU2II	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository

### 5.6.3 Verification Result

The service validates the successful delivery of the IRU message based on its recallcode.

- Success: the IRU message has been delivered successfully
- Failure: the IRU message has not been delivered.

## 5.7 Competent Authorities API

### 5.7.1 Overview

The purpose of this services is to allow Member States and Authorities to access the traceability data present in the secondary.

### 5.7.2 Interface

Interface acronym	Hosting system	Description
SU2CA	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Competent Authorities

### 5.7.3 Offline Flat File download API

This service provides the offline flat file.

### 5.7.4 Query API

This service provides access to UI, Events, Economic Operators, facilities and Machine information.

## 5.8 Primary repository endpoint

### 5.8.1 Overview

The Primary repository should expose an endpoint that will be used by the Router to transmit the described messages. All messages including acknowledgements must follow the specifications in the list of specifications and data dictionary.

### 5.8.2 Methods of interaction.

The Primary repository will present an http based RestAPI with JSON parameters.

HTTP POST method is used for all calls.

### 5.8.3 Message format.

The message format and sample are described in the Data Dictionary.

### 5.8.4 Message response

All messages response should follow the format described in the Secondary repository and Router interface.

### 5.8.5 Endpoint

A single endpoint is expected.  
All messages will be transmitted to that single endpoint.

### 5.8.6 Secured communication

The Primary repository system uses Basic authentication or OAuth 2.0 to authorize access to the web service methods.

### 5.8.7 RecallCode management

The recall code as described in the interface section should be supported.

### 5.8.8 Message integrity and hash

The Repository system will verify the message checksum to ensure that the data was not tampered with between parts of the whole Repository system as described in the Secondary repository and Router interface.

## 5.9 II2MN II2DW interfaces

### 5.9.1 Overview

The ID Issuer defines the communication between the EO and the ID issuer corresponding to interfaces II2MN and II2DW.

### 5.9.2 Interface

<b>Interface acronym</b>	<b>Hosting system</b>	<b>Description</b>
II2MN	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Manufacturers and Importers
II2DW	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Distributors and Wholesalers

### 5.9.3 Synchronous and asynchronous support

The interface presented allows the implementation a synchronous version, where the ID Issuer system will return the result within the same call. This approach is recommended when the business process and internal validation are fully automated.

The interfaces present the option of an asynchronous implementation where the initial call will trigger a request. The ID Issuer system will return a request code for each of these requests and a subsequent message will be initiated by the ID Issuer to transmit the response on the original request. This message will contain the reference to the initial request.

### 5.9.4 Extensibility

The interface presents an extensibility field in all messages corresponding to the interfaces II2MN and II2DW.

## 6 Unique Identifier

### 6.1 Clarification on Structure of unit-level unique identifiers

#### 6.1.1 Clarification on Structure of unit-level unique identifiers (after encoding into a data carrier)

Clarification on the use of data qualifiers as part of the UI, taking into account Implementing Regulation 2018/574 and the applicable international ISO norms. To facilitate this explanation, please see attached a table illustrating the structure of the UI (after encoding it into a data carrier), and the roles of ID issuers and economic operators in generating / applying the different data elements and, where applicable, data qualifiers.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Unique Identifier	Symbology Identifier	Mandatory Data Qualifier	ID Issuer Identification Code	Optional Data Qualifier	Serial Number	Optional Data Qualifier	Product Code	Optional Data Qualifier	Timestamp
Type	Qualifier	Qualifier	String (data Element)		String (data Element)		String (data Element)		String (data Element)
Position within the unique identifier	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Free	Free	Free	Free	Fixed	Fixed
Regulated by	Art. 21(1) and ID issuer's coding structure	Art.3(4), Art.8(1)(a), Art. 21(1) and ID issuer's coding structure	Art.3(4) and Art.8(1)(a)	Art. 21(1) and ID issuer's coding structure	Art.8(1)(b)	Art. 21(1) and ID issuer's coding structure	Art.8(1)(c)	Art. 21(1), Art. 21(4) and ID issuer's coding structure	Art.8(1)(d) and Art.21(4)
Applicable international standards	ISO/IEC 16022:2006, or ISO/IEC 18004:2015, or ISS DotCode Symbology Spec.	ISO 15459-2:2015 and ISO 15459-3:2014	ISO 15459-2:2015 and ISO 15459-3:2014						
Process	Applied by EO	Applied by EO	Generated by ID issuer	Applied by EO	Generated by ID issuer	Applied by EO	Generated by ID issuer	Applied by EO	Applied by EO
Transmission to repositories systems	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes

1) Pursuant to Article 8(1)(a)-(c) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574, the following data elements (strings) should form part of the UI, as generated by the competent ID issuer:

- ID issuer identification code (subject to ISO 15459-2 and 3);
- Serial number;
- Product code.

2) Pursuant to Articles 8(1)(d) and 21(4) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574, manufacturers and importers shall add the time stamp in the last

position to the code generated by the ID issuer. The time stamp can be either encoded into the data carrier or be added separately from the data carrier as a human readable format. The time stamp format must correspond to YYMMDDhh. Regardless of its format, the time stamp remains a part of the UI in the sense of Article 8 of Implementing Regulation.

3) Article 3(4) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574 requires that the ID issuer identification code should be assigned in line with ISO/IEC 15459-2 and the latter should be read in conjunction with ISO/IEC 15459-3 laying down common rules on unique identification and data capture techniques. Accordingly, the ID issuer identification code always must be preceded by a data qualifier, which shall consist of digits and upper cases only. That data qualifier shall be applied, as part of the encoding process, by the economic operator in accordance with the applicable coding structure published by the ID issuer in cooperation with its Issuing Agency.

4) Economic operators may be asked to apply additional ISO/IEC 15459-3 data qualifiers to the code generated by the ID issuer as part of the encoding process into the permitted types of data carriers. The use of these optional data qualifiers should be in line with the applicable coding structure published by the ID issuer in cooperation with its Issuing Agency. To that end, it is important to take into account that the use of data qualifiers may depend on the symbology identifier that is applied in accordance with Article 21(1) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574 (and the ISO norms referred therein). The coding structure of the ID issuer should address this possible interdependency and provide for adequate guidance to economic operators.

5) The potential use of a data qualifier preceding the time stamp will also depend on whether an economic operator decides to rely on Article 21(4) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574. The application of such data qualifier should take place in accordance with the applicable coding structure published by the ID issuer in cooperation with its Issuing Agency.

6) To ensure positive validation by the repositories system, only the following data elements (strings), excluding the symbology identifier and any data qualifiers, should be transmitted by economic operators as part of their recording activity to the repositories system:

- ID issuer identification code (without mandatory data qualifier);
- Serial number;
- Product code;
- Time stamp.

7) For the purpose of the explanation above, group separators (/FNC1) are considered in the same manner as optional data qualifiers. Their use depends on the coding structure published by the ID issuer.

### 6.1.2 Clarification on Structure of aggregated-level unique identifiers (after encoding into a data carrier)

#### 6.1.2.1 Aggregated UIs generated and issued by competent ID issuers

For aggregated UIs generated and issued by competent ID issuers, the rules on the use of data qualifiers explained in point 1.1 above apply by analogy.

#### 6.1.2.2 Self-generated aggregated UIs

Self-generated UIs must only provide for unique identification of the traceable item and as such, any additional information added to the aggregated level UI, as provided for in Article 11(4) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574, must not be transmitted by economic operators as part of their recording activity to the repositories system.

Example 1: GS1 DataMatrix encoding Global Trade Item Number with Serial Number (SGTIN)

Aggregate Unique Identifier for standard trade item grouping using GS1 Application Identifiers (01) for GTIN and (21) for Serial Number

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Unique Identifier	Symbology Identifier	Data Qualifier	GTIN	Data Qualifier	Serial Number
Position within the unique identifier	<b>Fixed</b>	<b>Fixed</b>	<b>Fixed</b>	<b>Fixed</b>	<b>Fixed</b>
Applicable international standards:	ISO/IEC 16022:2006, ISO/IEC 18004:2015, or ISO/IEC 15417:2007	ISO 15459-2:2015, ISO 15459-3:2014, ISO/IEC 15459-4: 2014 Section 4.1.2 (normative), ISO/IEC 15459-6:2014 Section 5 (normative) and Annex B (informative), and the GS1 General Specifications V.19 (or latest equivalents)			
Values	jd2	01	01234567891231	21	456FGRD66
Process	<input type="checkbox"/> Applied by EO <input type="checkbox"/> Symbology Identifiers are transmitted by scanners based on 'start character patterns' that must be followed when printing the barcode. See barcode specifications for specific patterns required to signal GS1 formatted data.				
Transmission to repositories systems	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>
aUI			01234567891231		456FGRD66

Example 2: GS1 DataMatrix encoding SGTIN (required for aUI) with additional information permitted, but not required

Aggregate level Unique Identifier for standard trade item grouping adding GS1 Application Identifier (240) Additional Product ID assigned by the manufacturer to Example 1

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Unique Identifier	Symbology Identifier	Data Qualifier	GTIN	Data Qualifier	Serial Number	Character required by GS1 after variable	Data Qualifier for optional attribute	Additional product identification assigned

						length fields	added by the manufacturer	by the manufacturer
Position within the unique identifier	<b>Fixed</b>	<b>Fixed</b>	<b>Fixed</b>	<b>Fixed</b>	<b>Fixed</b>	Per rules within GS1 General Specifications V19 or latest equivalent		
Applicable international standards :	ISO/IEC 16022:2006, 18004:2015, or 15417:2007	ISO 15459-2:2015, ISO 15459-3:2014, ISO/IEC 15459-4: 2014 Section 4.1.2 (normative), ISO/IEC 15459-6:2014 Section 5 (normative) and Annex B (informative), and the GS1 General Specifications V.19 (or latest equivalents)						
Values	]d2	01	01234567891231	21	456FGRD66	FNC1 or <GS>	240	ED1234
Process	<input type="checkbox"/> Applied by EO <input type="checkbox"/>							
Transmission to repositories systems	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No
aUI			01234567891231		456FGRD66			

**Example 3: GS1-128 encoding Serial Shipping Container Code (SSCC) Aggregate level Unique Identifier for transport (logistic) units using GS1 Application Identifier (00) SSCC**

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Unique Identifier	Symbology Identifier	Data Qualifier	SSCC
Position within the unique identifier	<b>Fixed</b>	<b>Fixed</b>	<b>Fixed</b>
Applicable international standards:	ISO/IEC 16022:2006, ISO/IEC 18004:2015, or ISO/IEC 15417:2007	ISO 15459-2:2015, ISO 15459-3:2014, ISO/IEC 15459-1: 2014 Section 4 (normative) and Annexes A and B (informative), and the GS1 General Specifications V.19 (or latest equivalents)	
Values	]C1	00	123456789123456789
Process	<input type="checkbox"/> Applied by EO <input type="checkbox"/>		
Transmission to repositories systems	No	No	Yes
aUI			123456789123456789

**Example 4: Code 128 bar code symbol with the qualifier of ASC MH10 Data Identifier "J"**

As defined in ISO/IEC 15459-1:2014 Annex A (informative) Unique identification for transport units section A.3 ASC MH10 unique identification for transport units

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Unique Identifier	Symbology Identifier	ASC MH 10 Data Identifiers	
Values	]C0	J	JNLY1234567890
Process	Applied by EO	Applied by EO	Applied by EO
Transmission to repositories systems	No	No	Yes
aUI			JNLY1234567890

## 6.2 Decoding UI

In order to decode the content of the UI, the Secondary repository requires the implementation of the different ID issuers decoding algorithm. These algorithms combined with the EU Wide Register will allow the decoding.

### 6.2.1 Algorithm

The ID issuer must provide the algorithm to the Secondary repository.

### 6.2.2 Decoding Activities

#### *6.2.2.1 Off line validation*

Specific offline validation could be performed on the UI.

#### *6.2.2.2 Routing*

The decoding of the UI could be required during the routing and splitting of the messages.

## 7 Router

### 7.1 Overview

The Router is responsible for:

- Validating data that is sent from the ID Issuer and the Economic operators.
- Sending data that it is sent from the Economic operators as web call and Flat files, to the Secondary repository checking that the message received is valid.
- Splitting and distributing operational and transactional messages coming in from the distribution chain to the relevant Primary repository.




Figure 52 Router Data Flow

### 7.2 Routing rules

The Router dispatches the traceability data from the Wholesalers, Distributors, Transport companies or Providers of courier services to the Primary repository related to the Manufacturer or Importer of the dispatched tobacco products.

The Message shall be split when it contains information related to products from various Manufacturers.

#### 7.2.1 Routing of UI

**Case 1:** When all UIs are related to the same Manufacturer or Importer, the message is forwarded as-is to the relevant Primary repository.


**Case 2:** When UIs are related to various Manufacturers or Importers, the message will be split by Manufacturer and/or Importer together with the related UIs and resulting messages forwarded to the relevant Primary repository.

### 7.2.2 Routing of Transactional data

Transactional Information associated to products from various Manufacturer or Importer should be fully sent to all relevant Primary repositories with no split. UIs will be split by relevant Primary repository.

## 7.3 Recall Management

The router will propagate the recall messages following the initial routing and splitting.



## 8 Message Validation

### 8.1 Overview

Validation is the process to accept or reject an incoming message.

#### 8.1.1 Principle: No duplication of validation

The complete traceability system should be considered as one system and the validation is performed at the first component of the system.

- Messages processed by the Router and transmitted to the Primary repository, should not be validated at the Primary repository level.
- Messages processed by the Primary repository and transmitted to the Secondary repository, should not be validated at the Secondary repository level.




Figure 53 Validation Overview

#### 8.1.2 Validation response

The Https status of the response provide information on the way the receiving system is handling the data.

- Http status **200 – 202**: the message is processed successfully
- Http status **299**: the message contains one or more errors (validation failure), the message is processed even with the errors. (some processing might be limited). The response contains the list of failed validations.
- Http status **400**: the message contains one or more validation errors. The message will NOT be processed. An audit of the message and its corresponding response will be stored on the EU Secondary. The response contains the list of failed validations.

http status 299 is used in multiple circumstances. This warning status is used to informing the sending system of an unrecognized UI during the "Transition Period" as well as out of sequence event during the grace period. This status is also used in case a message is reported outside the 24hour (defined on the validation VAL\_EVT\_24H). The response message contains the list of validations failures that caused the warning.


### 8.1.3 Technical and business validation

The validation process is composed by a technical aspect and business validation. The technical validation ensures that the message follows the general format and messages structure including field availability and predefined values. This validation is performed on all components.

The business validation is performed after the technical validation and focuses on the state of business data.

### 8.1.4 Message transmission overview

The following diagram describes the different message transmission and response options.



Note that the technical validation is not represented in the following diagram. Only the business validation. It is clear that messages that fail the technical validation (wrong format, not authorized) will be rejected by all systems with an http status 400.

#### 8.1.4.1 Secondary to Primary Feedback

The Secondary repository accepts all messages from the different primary repositories as described in the list of Specification. The primary repository is responsible to perform the validation.

The information provided by the primary repository is used to update the internal state of the different UI. The states are then used during the validation enforcement on the router.

The Secondary repository is running all the validation in order to confirm the coherence of the state changes. In case the secondary information and checks lead to identify a discrepancy in the reported events by the primary repository, the secondary will perform the following operations

- mark the event and UI that are presenting such discrepancies.
- communicate to the primary repository the information regarding the discrepancy. The use of http status 299 in the message response to the primary repositories.

#### 8.1.4.2 Router to Primary

The router performs the validation of the messages received. Depending on the type of messages, the message is then forwarded to the primary repository. The primary repository will accept the messages and always (messages that are passing or failing the business validations) return a http 200 or 202 to the router.

#### 8.1.4.3 Router and Primary to EO

The messages from the Economic operators are validated at the first point of entry. For the manufacturer and importer, the messages are transmitted to the primary repositories.

The messages from the distributors are validated by the router based on the information processed on the secondary repository.

Depending on the content of the message, the EO might receive a successful response (http 200 or 202) a warning (http 299) or a rejection data (http 400).

## 8.2 Type of validation

### 8.2.1 Security validation

The security validation is the first part of the technical validation ensuring that connecting systems are authenticated and authorized to transmit data to the traceability system.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_SEC_HASH	Integrity check of the checksum	All messages
VAL_SEC_TOKEN	Oauth Security Token validation	All messages

### 8.2.2 Message Structure validation

The technical validation ensures that the messages are following the technical guidelines and allows the system to successfully access the message data accurately.

Control	Description	Scope
---------	-------------	-------

VAL_MSG_JSON	JSON structure validation	All JSON messages
VAL_MSG_XML	XML structure validation	All EPCS EDI messages
VAL_MSG_TYPE	Message type validation	All messages
VAL_FIE_MAN	Mandatory Field validation (per message type)	All messages
VAL_FIE_FORMAT	Field format validation	All messages
VAL_FIE_REF	Existence of correctly reference enumerations. (As defined in data dictionary)	All messages

### 8.2.3 . Message Transmission validation

The transmission controls are established in order to prevent technical duplication and processing of messages.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_MSG_DUPLICATE	Message payload already processed successfully by the Router or Primary should be rejected.	IRU - IDA - EUA - EPA - EDP - ERP- ETL- EUD- EVR - EIV - EPO - EPR
VAL_MSG_CODE_DUPLICATE	Message identified by a Recallcode that has already been processed successfully should be rejected.	All messages

### 8.2.4 Unique Identifiers validation

The following validations are performed on each UI that is present in a message.

#### 8.2.4.1 Message level validation

The UI present in a message should be present only once. If the UI is present multiple times, the message will be rejected as non-compliant.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_MULT_MSG	Multiple duplicate UI present in the messages. For EPA (message 3.2), the validation on the parent UI should also be performed in order to avoid first level cyclical reference.	IRU - IDA - EUA - EPA - EDP - ERP- ETL- EUD- EVR - EIV - EPO - EPR

#### 8.2.4.2 Application Validation

Validation that the upUI(s) is only applied once to a upUI(L)

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_EXIST_APP	upUIs has been received as part of an IRU message.	EUA - IDA

	This validation fails if the upUIs is not found and has not been reported.	
VAL_UI_DUPLICATE_APP	UI validity Check if the upUIs has already been applied to a upUI(L)	EUA
VAL_UI_FID_APP	Validation of the FID defined in the IRU message (2.1).	EUA

#### 8.2.4.3 Existence

The following control ensures that the UI (upUI and aUI) comply with the regulation and could participate in product movement or transaction event reporting.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI	UI existence upUI exists and has been successfully applied	EPA (Children) – EDP – ERP- ETL- EVR – EIV – EPO – EPR
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI	aUI existence aUI has been aggregated (part of an EPA)	IDA –EPA (Children) – EDP – ERP- ETL- EVR – EIV – EPO – EPR
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI_SEQ	UI validity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>upUI exists and has been successfully applied</li> <li>upUI has not been part of any deactivation message.</li> </ul>	EPA (Children) – EDP – ERP- ETL- EVR
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI_SEQ	aUI validity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>aUI has been aggregated (part of an EPA)</li> <li>and has not been disaggregated (including implicit disaggregation)</li> <li>nor deactivated.</li> </ul>	IDA –EPA (Children) – EDP – ERP- ETL- EVR

#### 8.2.4.4 upUI Expiration

As per the regulation, the upUI(s) that are issued by the ID Issuer and reported in the IRU messages have a limited application period.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_EXPIRY	Validation that the application or the aggregation date	EUA, EPA

	doesn't exceed the 6 months period after the code has been issued.	
--	--	--

## 8.2.5 UI level Message sequence validation

### 8.2.5.1 Application and deactivation sequence validation

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_ORD_REACTIVATION	upUI(s) that has been deactivated should not allow any application event (EUA).	EUA
VAL_UI_ORD_DEACTIVATED	UI – presence of UI in a message after being deactivated.	EPA – EDP – ERP- ETL- EUD- EVR - IDA

#### 8.2.5.1.1 Deactivation reason

Depending on the deactivation reason for the parent UI.

- If the deactivation reason was Deact\_Reason1 = 1 (Product destroyed) or 2 (Product stolen) then the full hierarchy is deactivated and therefore nothing needs to be done
- If the deactivation reason was Deact\_Reason1 = 3 (UI Destroyed),4 (UI Stolen) ,5 (UI Unused) or 6 (Other), then only the explicitly mentioned UIs are deactivated and therefore the stolen parents would be still existing in the Secondary as active. It is the responsibility of the Economic Operator to report the stolen UIs as stolen when they are aware of it (send a deactivation message for the stolen UIs)

Note that the Deactivation also can trigger an implicit disaggregation.

### 8.2.5.2 Aggregation and Disaggregation Principles

**Principle 1:** All aggregation events are full aggregation. Once the aUI is aggregated, a subsequent aggregation event on the same parent aUI should be rejected.

**Principle 2:** All disaggregation events are full disaggregation. Once the aUI is disaggregated, no movement should be reported on that aUI (until the next disaggregation and aggregation event are reported.).

**Principle 3:** Implicit disaggregation. Disaggregation event reporting is mandatory only when the aUI is reused in a subsequent aggregation event (as a parent aUI). The implicit disaggregation is detected when at least one child UI is reported in an aggregation or product movement. The parent aUI of this child UI will be considered as disaggregated. In the case that the child UI is part of an aggregation hierarchy, all parent aUIs will be disaggregated.

As a consequence, once the implicit disaggregation is detected, no movement should be reported on that parent aUI (until the next aggregation event is reported).

**Principle 4:** All disaggregation must be performed at a location. No Disaggregation are allowed during the transport.

### 8.2.5.3 Implicit disaggregation

Since disaggregation events are only mandatory when the parent aUI is reused, Implicit disaggregation event will happen.

#### 8.2.5.3.1 Triggers

These events will be detected / triggered when a child UI is identified on one of the following messages: IDA (2.3), EPA (3.2), EDP (3.3), ERP (3.4) of type Return, EUD (3.6) and EVR (3.7).

Note that transactional events are not triggering any implicit disaggregation.

#### 8.2.5.3.2 Disaggregated state

Once the UI is implicitly disaggregated, the UI should be considered disaggregated and should not be part of any subsequent product movement (3.x). The definition of implicit disaggregation is that the affected parent aUI is considered completely unlinked from all the children it had at the moment of the implicit disaggregation trigger ("Open"). If a reported UI has more than one linked parent at the moment of the reporting, all parents should be implicitly disaggregated, this includes grand-parents and recursively upwards linked UIs.

#### 8.2.5.3.3 Recall

It is important to note that implicit disaggregation should be rolled back in case the event that triggered them is Recalled.

#### 8.2.5.3.4 Explicit disaggregation after implicit disaggregation

The scope of the VAL\_UI\_ORD\_IMPLDISAGG and VAL\_UI\_ORD\_DISAGG have been removed from EUD (3.6). This means that it is possible to disaggregate an aUI after being part of an implicit disaggregation (as a parent).

### 8.2.5.4 Aggregation and Disaggregation Validation

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_MULT	Validation that a parent UI has not been part of any prior aggregation event (as parent) without being part of a disaggregation event. This control prevents the reuse of an aUI without prior disaggregation.	EPA
VAL_UI_ORD_DISAGG	Validation that an aUI has been disaggregated cannot be part on any product movement prior of being aggregated.	EDP - ERP - ETL - EVR

VAL_UI_ORD_IMPLDISAGG	Validation that an aUI has been implicitly disaggregated cannot be part on any product movement prior of being part of an EUD message and then aggregated.	EDP – ERP – ETL – EVR- EPA (Children) - EUD
-----------------------	--	---

### 8.2.5.5 Location based Validation

#### 8.2.5.5.1 UI Location update

The sequence validation also considers the implementation of location-based controls.

FID of the UI is updated upon processing of the following events.

- ERP (3.4)
- EUA (3.1) update of the location of the UI. The location of the UI should match the location defined in the IRU message.
- EPA (3.2) update of the newly created parent id
- EVR (3.7)
- RCL (5.0) rolls back to the previous state

#### 8.2.5.5.2 Location based controls

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_FID	Validation that the aggregation and the disaggregation events must happen at the same facility (FID) where the products have been either created or arrived.	EPA - EUD

### 8.2.5.6 Dispatch and arrival Validation

**Principle 5:** The reporting on the Arrival should be done on the same UI that have been reported during the Dispatch/Transloading process. This is a consequence of principle 4. This means that an Arrival Event that contains child UI of UI reported during the Dispatch/Transloading Event will be rejected. The same UI must be reported.

*Exception VAL\_UI\_ORD\_ARRIVAL\_RETURN:* arrival of type return only affects the principle 5 but still enforce the event sequence validation.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL	Validation that a UI is part of a prior reported dispatch or transloading event (EDP	ERP (Product_Return = 0)

	<p>3.3, ETL 3.5) for the specified destination. This validation concerns the sequence of events.</p> <p><i>Exception:</i> Imported products</p>	
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL_RETURN	<p>Validation that a UI is part of a prior reported dispatch or transloading event (EDP 3.3, ETL 3.5, EVR 3.7) for the specified destination. This validation concerns the sequence of events.</p> <p>In this validation principle 5 doesn't apply.</p>	<p><i>ERP (Product_Return = 1)</i></p>

#### 8.2.5.6.1 imported goods Exception

The IRU (message 2.1) contains the import flag information. This flag will be used to assess if the exception should be implemented.

- a) Unit packs that contain the import flag information and that have been applied and eventually aggregated with EUA (message 3.1) and EPA (message 3.2) in a facility whose country is **outside** the EU must be reported in an arrival message with a facility whose country is inside the EU (the physical importation of the goods) before being part of any aggregation or logistic movement inside the EU.
- b) Unit packs that contain the import flag information and that have been applied and eventually aggregated with EUA (message 3.1) and EPA (message 3.2) in a facility whose country is **inside** the EU, must not report an arrival message. In this case, it is understood that the physical importation should have taken place between the IRU (message 2.1) and the application/activation of the UI EUA (message 3.1) and EPA (message 3.2).

#### 8.2.5.6.2 Arrival of type return

The reporting of the arrival of type return can be performed on child UI. This operation is allowed and will trigger an implicit disaggregation.

#### 8.2.5.6.3 Arrival and Return

Flows from the retail outlets, even in the context of message 3.7, are considered to constitute "product returns".

The flows constitute "product returns" even if the product is returned to the same facility as it was originally dispatched from or to another one.

#### 8.2.5.6.4 Dispatch validation

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_ORD_DISPATCH	<p>Validation that a UI last location (FID) matches the source location (FID) of the dispatch event.</p> <p>The UI must have been:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Applied or aggregated on that specific location (FID)</li> <li>- Arrived on that location.</li> </ul>	EDP

#### 8.2.6 Message Event Time Validation

The following messages validation compares the event time (Event Time) to the actual reception time of the event by the first point of entry.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_EVT_24H	<p>Validation that the Events are reported within 24 hours from the occurrence of the event.</p> <p>This validation is performed on the Event Time compared to the Record Time of the Primary repository or the Router.</p> <p>NOTE: this validation will be reduced to 3 hours after 20 May 2028</p> <p><b>This validation should not be blocking but rather generating a warning to the sender system</b></p>	EUA – EPA – EVR – EIV – EPO – EPR
VAL_EVT_TIME	<p>"Within 24 hours prior to the occurrence of the event" rule for dispatch and trans-loading event messages is a rule and the system shall reject non-compliant messages. Control is based on the "actual date – Event Time" time difference.</p>	EDP – ETL

	<b>This validation should not be blocking but rather generating a warning to the sender system</b>	
--	--	--

### 8.2.7 Identification Code validation

Identification codes are used in a number of messages. The validation of the existence and fact that the identification code is active is part of the business validation as described in the table below.

<b>Control</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Scope</b>
VAL_ENT_EXIST_EOID	Check if the EOID exists in the EU wide registry	ISU - ISA - IRU - IRA - IDA - EUA - EPA - EDP - ERP - ETL - EUD- EVR
VAL_ENT_EXIST_FID	Check if FID, exists in the EU wide registry	ISU - IRU - ISA - IRA - IRU - EUA - EPA - EDP - ERP - ETP - EUD - EVR
VAL_ENT_EXIST_MID	Check if MID, exists in the EU wide registry	ISU - IRU
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_EOID	Check if EOID is marked as active in the repository	IRU - IRA - IDA - EUA - EPA - EDP - ERP - ETL - EUD- EVR
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_FID	Check if FID is marked as active in the repository	ISU - ISA - IRU - IRA - EUA - EPA
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_MID	Check if MID is marked as active in the repository	ISU - IRU
VAL_ENT_REL_EOID_FID	Check if EOID FID relation is defined in the EU wide registry	IRU - IRA
VAL_ENT_REL_FID_MID	Check if FID MID relation is defined in the EU wide registry	IRU - IRA

### 8.2.8 Recall Validation

#### 8.2.8.1 General recall rules

The sequence validation on the product movement introduce additional controls on the recall process. In order to maintain the consistency of the history of the UI, only the recall of the last event for each UI will be authorized.

If a message to be recalled, contains a UI (any in the reported list) that has a subsequent event, the subsequent event must be recalled first.

For the sake of clarity, the following scenarios describe the process of recall. An EO report two product movements on a UI. (Event 1 and Event 2). If the EO wishes to recall Event 1, the EO has first to recall Event 2 and only after recall Event 1. Moreover, Event2 must be the last event occurred on all UIs contained in Event2 for Event2 could be recalled.

*8.2.8.2 Transaction events*

Transaction events (4.x) are not subject to this rule as they are not impacted by the sequence validation control and therefore, transaction events (4.x) can be recalled at any time.

*8.2.8.3 Recall Validation Controls*

<b>Control</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Scope</b>
VAL_RECALL_EXIST	Check if RecallCode exists	RCL
VAL_RECALL_LAST	Check if for all UIs related to the event identified by RecallCode is the very last unrecalled event occurred on all such UI including related implicitly disaggregated parents.	RCL

### 8.3 Validation Scope

	IRU (2.1)	IRA (2.2)	IDA (2.3)	EUA (3.1)	EPA (3.2)	EDP (3.3)	ERP (3.4)	ETL (3.5)	EUD (3.6)	EVR (3.7)	EIV (4.1)	EPO (4.2)	EPR (4.3)	RCL (5)
<b>Technical validation</b>														
VAL_SEC_HASH	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL_SEC_TOKEN	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL_MSG_JSON	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL_MSG_XML														
VAL_MSG_TYPE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL_FIE_MAN	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL_FIE_FORMAT	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL_FIE_REF	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL_MSG_DUPLICATE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL_MSG_CODE_DUPLICATE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL_UI_MULT_MSG	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
<b>Business rule validation</b>														
UI creation														
VAL_UI_EXIST_APP			X	X										
VAL_UI_DUPLICATE_APP				X										
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI_SEQ			X		X	X	X	X		X				
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI_SEQ			X		X	X	X	X	X	X				
VAL_UI_EXPIRY				X	X									
Entity Validation														
VAL_ENT_EXIST_EOID	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
VAL_ENT_EXIST_FID	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
VAL_ENT_EXIST_MID	X													
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_EOID	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_FID	X	X		X										
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_MID	X													
VAL_ENT_REL_EOID_FID	X	X												
VAL_ENT_REL_FID_MID	X	X												
Sequence Validation														
VAL_UI_FID_APP				X										
VAL_UI_ORD_REACTIVATION				X										
VAL_UI_ORD_DEACTIVATED			X		X	X	X	X	X	X				
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_MULT					X									
VAL_UI_ORD_DISAGG						X	X	X		X				
VAL_UI_ORD_IMPLDISAGG					X	X	X	X		X				
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_FID					X				X					
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL							X							
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL_RETURN							X							
VAL_UI_ORD_DISPATCH						X								
Message Timing														
VAL_EVT_24H				X	X					X	X	X	X	
VAL_EVT_TIME						X		X						
Recall														
VAL_RECALL_EXIST														X
VAL_RECALL_LAST														X

## 8.4 Validation Responsibility

	Primary repository	Router	Secondary repository
<b>Technical validation</b>			
VAL_SEC_HASH	X	X	X
VAL_SEC_TOKEN	X	X	X
VAL_MSG_JSON	X	X	X
VAL_MSG_XML	X	X	X
VAL_MSG_TYPE	X	X	X
VAL_FIE_MAN	X	X	X
VAL_FIE_FORMAT	X	X	X
VAL_FIE_REF	X	X	X
VAL_MSG_DUPLICATE	X	X	X
VAL_MSG_CODE_DUPLICATE	X		X
<b>Business rule validation</b>			
VAL_UI_MULT_MSG	X	X	
VAL_UI_EXIST_APP	X		
VAL_UI_DUPLICATE_APP	X		
VAL_UI_FID_APP	X		
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI	X	X	
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI	X	X	
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI_SEQ	X	X	
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI_SEQ	X	X	
VAL_UI_EXPIRY	X		
VAL_UI_ORD_REACTIVATION	X	X	
VAL_UI_ORD_DEACTIVATED	X	X	
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_MULT	X	X	
VAL_UI_ORD_DISAGG	X	X	
VAL_UI_ORD_IMPLDISAGG	X	X	
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_FID	X	X	
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL	X	X	
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL_RETURN	X	X	
VAL_UI_ORD_DISPATCH	X	X	
VAL_EVT_24H	X	X	
VAL_EVT_TIME	X	X	
VAL_ENT_EXIST_EOID		X	
VAL_ENT_EXIST_FID		X	
VAL_ENT_EXIST_MID		X	
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_EOID		X	
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_FID		X	
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_MID		X	
VAL_ENT_REL_EOID_FID		X	
VAL_ENT_REL_FID_MID		X	
VAL_RECALL_EXIST	X	X	
VAL_RECALL_LAST	X	X	

## 8.5 Validation and Error Code

	<b>Error Code</b>	<b>http Status</b>
<b>Technical validation</b>		
VAL_SEC_HASH	INVALID_SIGNATURE	400
VAL_SEC_TOKEN	INVALID_OR_EXPIRED_TOKEN	401
VAL_MSG_JSON	INVALID_INPUT_FORMAT REQUIRED_FIELD_FAILED_VALIDATION MAX_LENGTH_FAILED_VALIDATION MIN_LENGTH_FAILED_VALIDATION ENTRY_LENGTH_FAILED_VALIDATION EXCISE_NUMBER_NOT_VALID NON_COMPATIBLE_UIS NOT_THE_SAME_NUMBER_OF_ITEMS	400
VAL_MSG_XML	FAILED_VALIDATION	400
VAL_MSG_TYPE	FAILED_VALIDATION	400
VAL_FIE_MAN	FAILED_VALIDATION	400
VAL_FIE_FORMAT	INVALID_INPUT_FORMAT	400
VAL_FIE_REF	FAILED_VALIDATION	400
VAL_MSG_DUPLICATE	PAYLOAD_NOT_UNIQUE	400
VAL_MSG_CODE_DUPLICATE	FAILED_VALIDATION	400
VAL_UI_MULT_MSG	MULTIPLE_UID UI_NOT_VALID UIS_NOT_VALID	400
<b>Business rule validation</b>		
UI creation		
VAL_UI_EXIST_APP	UIS_APPLICATION_ERROR	400
VAL_UI_DUPLICATE_APP	UIS_APPLICATION_ERROR	400
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI	UI_NOT_EXIST UI_NOT_VALID	400
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI	UI_NOT_EXIST	400
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI_SEQ	UI_NOT_EXIST UI_NOT_VALID	400
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI_SEQ	UI_NOT_EXIST	400
VAL_UI_EXPIRY	UI_EXPIRED	400
Entity Validation		
VAL_ENT_EXIST_EOID	E OID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_EXIST_FID	FID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_EXIST_MID	MID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_EOID	E OID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_FID	FID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_MID	MID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_REL_EOID_FID	FID_NOT_RELATED_TO_EOID	400
VAL_ENT_REL_FID_MID	MID_NOT_RELATED_TO_FID	400
Sequence Validation		
VAL_UI_FID_APP	UIS_APPLICATION_ERROR FID_MISMATCH	400
VAL_UI_ORD_REACTIVATION	UI_DEACTIVATED	400
VAL_UI_ORD_DEACTIVATED	UI_DEACTIVATED	400
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_MULT	MULTIPLE_AGGREGATION	400
VAL_UI_ORD_DISAGG	UI_ALREADY_DISAGGREGATED	400
VAL_UI_ORD_IMPLDISAGG	UI_ALREADY_DISAGGREGATED	400

VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_FID	LOCATION_MISMATCH	400
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL	ARRIVAL_NOTALLOWED	400
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL_RETURN	ARRIVAL_NOTALLOWED	400
VAL_UI_ORD_DISPATCH	LOCATION_MISMATCH	400
Message Timing		
VAL_EVT_24H	OPERATION_WITHIN_24_HOURS	299
VAL_EVT_TIME	SHIPMENT_WITHIN_24_HOURS	299
Recall		
VAL_RECALL_EXIST	CODE_NOT_EXIST CODE_NOT_UNIQUE	400
VAL_RECALL_LAST	RECALL_NOT_LAST_EVENT	400

## 8.6 Transition Period Validation

### 8.6.1 overview

It has been recognized that during the transition period (20 May 2019 – 19 May 2020), as provided for under Article 37(1) of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/574, a number of UI, which were generated before the 20th of May 2019 and therefore not-registered in the system, might be present in the supply chain and could be accidentally scanned and included in product movement messages. In an effort to avoid full rejection of messages that may also contain valid UIs and non-valid legacy UIs, the following validation rule will be applied.

1. The receiving system (Primary repository, Router) identifies valid and non-registered UIs as per "VAL\_UI\_EXIST\_UPUI" or "VAL\_UI\_EXIST\_AUI" validation in the original message.
2. In case non-valid legacy UIs are identified, the system (Primary repository, Router) ONLY processes the valid UIs.
3. An error message is sent to the requesting system containing the list of non-valid legacy UIs. The error code ("UI\_NOT\_EXIST\_TRANSITION\_WARNING") indicates that message in question contains non-valid legacy UIs, which will not be processed by the system. Only valid UIs will be processed.
4. The requesting system, by receiving the validation result with the list of non-valid legacy UIs, have the possibility to recall the message.

### 8.6.2 Related controls


The following validation will not apply during the transition period

- VAL\_UI\_EXIST\_AUI
- VAL\_UI\_EXIST\_UPUI

Scope of messages subject to the transition period

- IDA (2.3) Request for deactivation of UIs
- EPA (3.2) Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging
- EDP (3.3) Dispatch Event
- ERP (3.4) Reception event
- ETL (3.5) Trans-loading event
- EUD (3.6) Message to report an UID disaggregation
- EVR (3.7) Report the delivery carried out with a vending van to retail outlet
- EIV (4.1) Message to report an invoice
- EPO (4.2) Purchase order

### 8.6.3 Primary and Router processing



The primary and Router implementing the transition period receives the incoming event and apply the different validation controls. In case a UI doesn't match the control, the UI will be removed from the forwarded list and placed into the rejection data section of the message.

The primary repository must route events forwarded by the router with the Rejection Data information to the secondary repository.

### 8.6.4 Empty Event

In case all UI in an event are non valid UIs, the Primary or Router will remove all the UI from the main UI list and forward the "empty" message to the Secondary Repository as an error message (http 400) for audit purpose.

## 8.7 Sequence Validation Grace Period

### 8.7.1 Overview

For the Economic Operators (Manufacturers, Importers, distributors), the implementation of the sequence validation is an important technical upgrade. In order to allow Economic operators to finetune their systems, a sequence validation grace period is defined.

During the sequence validation grace period, the Router and Primary repository will only provide WARNING (http status 299) instead of an ERROR (http status 400) in case of non-compliance and still accept the messages. These warning should be used by the EO to understand the errors and adapt their systems in order to comply with the new technical validation.

It is important to note that the "**sequence validation grace period**" is different from the "**transitions period**". Both periods can overlap.

### 8.7.2 UI Processing

During the sequence validation grace period, If the UI doesn't pass the sequence validation, it will still be accepted, and the message processed. Because the sequence validation is not respected, the processing system (Primary repository

or Router) will not be able to maintain an accurate state for that specific UI. The UI will be identified, and further events related to this UI will be accepted.

### 8.7.3 End of grace period

For UIs that have been issued and operated prior to the end of the Sequence Validation Grace Period, those might contain non-conform information. This means that the information used to implement the sequence validation, for these specific UI might not be sufficient to enforce the validation.

If the information available for these UIs, is not sufficient to assess the location and the state, the system should allow the product movement and bypass the validations.

### 8.7.4 Related controls

The following validation controls will be impacted by this sequence validation Grace Period

- VAL\_UI\_FID\_APP
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_REACTIVATION
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_DEACTIVATED
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_AGG\_MULT
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_DISAGG
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_IMPLDISAGG
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_AGG\_FID
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_ARRIVAL
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_DISPATCH

### 8.7.5 Primary and Router processing


The primary and Router implementing the transition period receives the incoming event and apply the different sequence validation controls. In case a UI doesn't match the control, a warning response will be sent back to the sender system. The original message will be forwarded without any additional rejection data section linked to the sequence validation.

## 9 Sign up Process

### 9.1 Overview

The objective of the signup process is to connect ID Issuer, Economic operator and Primary repository to the Secondary repository. All parties must have valid credentials to call the Router or the Secondary repository. The flow diagram below gives an overview of how these credentials are gained.

### 9.2 Overall flow



#### 9.2.1 ID Issuer and Primary repositories providers

The ID Issuers and Primary repositories providers will contact Secondary repository provider and provide the technical details. After the due diligence process, the credentials will be securely sent to the requestor.

#### 9.2.2 Technical Solution Provider

Distributors / Wholesalers can use a Technical Solution Provider to connect to the Router or connect directly.

When connecting through the Technical Solution Provider the Distributors / Wholesalers will have to sign terms and conditions prior to be enabled.

#### 9.2.3 Economic Operator Validation

The Economic Operator must be defined in the EU Wide Register.

# 10Endpoints

< BASE\_URL > : base url = .eu.tobaccotracing.com

< BASE\_XML\_URL > : base xml url = .xml.tobaccotracing.com

example:

< ENV > : environment name

		URL
<b>Router</b>		
Router	The authentication endpoint	https://< ENV >.auth.< BASE_URL >
Router	The resource endpoint	https://< ENV >.router.< BASE_URL >
Router	The flat file upload	https://< ENV >.registry.< BASE_URL >/lookup
EPCIS/EDI	The EPCIS/EDI resource endpoint	https://< ENV >.router.< BASE_XML_URL >
<b>Secondary Repository</b>		
Secondary Repository	The Identifier Code Verification	https://< ENV >.verification.< BASE_URL >/lookup
Secondary Repository	The resource endpoint	https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_URL >/operational https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_URL >/transactional https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_URL >/recall
Secondary Repository	The Offline flat file download	https://< ENV >.flatfile.< BASE_URL >/lookup
Secondary Repository EPCIS / EDI	The EPCIS/EDI resource endpoint	https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_XML_URL >/operational https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_XML_URL >/transactional https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_XML_URL >/recall

## 11 List of standards

1	OAuth 2	<a href="https://www.oauth.com/oauth2-servers/access-tokens/client-credentials/">https://www.oauth.com/oauth2-servers/access-tokens/client-credentials/</a>
2	ISO/IEC 9834-8:2014 Information technology -- Procedures for the operation of object identifier registration authorities -- Part 8: Generation of universally Unique identifier (UI) (UUIDs) and their use in object identifiers	<a href="https://www.iso.org/standard/62795.html">https://www.iso.org/standard/62795.html</a>
3	The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 8259	<a href="https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8259">https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8259</a>
4	GS1 EPC Information Services (EPCIS) standard	<a href="https://www.gs1.org/standards/fighting-illicit-trade">https://www.gs1.org/standards/fighting-illicit-trade</a>

## 12References

1	COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2018/574 of 15 December 2017 on technical standards for the establishment and operation of a traceability system for tobacco products <a href="https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32018R0574&amp;from=GA">https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32018R0574&amp;from=GA</a>
2	DIRECTIVE 2014/40/EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 3 April 2014 <a href="https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32014L0040&amp;from=EN">https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32014L0040&amp;from=EN</a>